

Hi to all,

I'm very surprised to read that the IGF has not given the results ANTICIPATED. In the case of the DRC, at national level, it is obvious that we had a difficult understanding of the multi-stakeholder approach. But it helped widen the understanding, the importance of the IGF and the relevance of the themes that were discussed in all fora.

The IGF has been discussed within the regional organizations like the European Union, the African Union and even at national level. The sessions organized during the IGF can measure almost all positive dimensions and collateral effects the evolution of the internet, including:

- The problem of infrastructures
- The problem of broadband
- The problem of access and cultural diversity
- The problem of exchange points
- The problem of the internet in the cloud
- The problem of cybercrime
- The problem of the internationalization of ICANN
- The problem of the transformation from IPV4 to IPV6
- The problem of managing DNS
- The problem of multilingualism etc. ..

The IGF is one of the role models as a framework for consultation both at the national, sub regional, regional and global levels for the management and development of the internet.

It is unacceptable to even think for a moment what it was used because many policy makers in Africa have now realized that to make a good decision you should consult and involve all stakeholders around one or more problematic. IGF really PROVEN indispensability of "multistakeholder" concept.

This is not the time to stop a beautiful adventure and your adopted by all delegations of the member countries of the United Nations who participated actively in the two phases of the World Summit on the Information Society.

SCHOMBE BAUDOIN
REPRESENTANT OFFICIEL TICAFRICA ET
CYBERVILLAGE@FRICA/RDC
COORDINATION NATIONALE CAFEC
COORDINATION NATIONALE REPRONTIC