



Results

Survey 645723

Number of records in this query:	60
Total records in survey:	60
Percentage of total:	100.00%



Field summary for A

The Internet should be open, secure and accessible to all people. Network Neutrality plays an instrumental role in preserving Internet openness; fostering the enjoyment of Internet users' human rights; promoting competition and equality of opportunity; safeguarding the generative peer-to-peer nature of the Internet; and spreading the benefits of the Internet to all people. Managing Internet traffic in a transparent and non-discriminatory manner compatible with the Network Neutrality Principle serves the interests of the public by preserving a level playing field with minimal barriers to entry and by providing equal opportunity for the invention and development of new applications, services and business models. Competition among broadband networks, technologies and all players of the Internet ecosystem is essential to ensure the openness of the Internet. All individuals and stakeholders should have the possibility to participate in the elaboration of any Network Neutrality regulatory instrument.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	0.00%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	1	1.67%	1.67%
4 (4)	7	11.67%	
5 (5)	17	28.33%	40.00%
No answer	0	0.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.64		
Standard deviation	0.57		
Sum (Answers)	25	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	25	100.00%	



Field summary for B [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	2	8.00%
No answer	23	92.00%

ID	Response
60	All stake holders can have say.
119	It is good



Field summary for B [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	3	12.00%
No answer	22	88.00%

ID	Response
200	reg. TM: "reasonable" should be hinted at here as well
60	But most consumers wont be allowed to part of this
119	In practice most of services providers and government wont follow for business reaosns etc



Field summary for C

Network Neutrality is the principle according to which Internet traffic is treated without unreasonable discrimination, restriction or interference regardless of its sender, recipient, type or content.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	2	3.45%	3.45%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	2	3.45%	3.45%
4 (4)	3	5.17%	
5 (5)	16	27.59%	32.76%
No answer	2	3.33%	
Arithmetic mean	4.35		
Standard deviation	1.23		
Sum (Answers)	23	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	25	100.00%	



Field summary for D [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	2	8.00%
No answer	23	92.00%

ID	Response
60	Most of the people wont know about this
119	It is good



Field summary for D [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	3	12.00%
No answer	22	88.00%

ID	Response
200	"unreasonable" should be deleted from the principle definition. "terminal equipment used" could be added at the end.
60	In the name of neutrality companies are missusing the same
119	But in practice and real conditions no body follows. We must have global practice and bench mark in place.



Field summary for E

Internet service providers should act in accordance with the Network Neutrality Principle. Any deviation from this principle may be considered as reasonable traffic management as long as it is necessary and proportionate to: preserve network security and integrity; mitigate the effects of temporary and exceptional congestion, primarily by means of protocol-agnostic measures or, when these measures do not prove practicable, by means of protocol-specific measures; prioritise emergency services in the case of unforeseeable circumstances or force majeure.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	1.69%
2 (2)	1	1.69%	
3 (3)	3	5.08%	5.08%
4 (4)	6	10.17%	
5 (5)	14	23.73%	33.90%
No answer	1	1.67%	
Arithmetic mean	4.38		
Standard deviation	0.88		
Sum (Answers)	24	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	25	100.00%	



Field summary for F [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	2	8.00%
No answer	23	92.00%

ID	Response
60	IT is good if ISP follow rules and regulations
119	In order to make customer as god we must have open traffic management people to help custoemrs



Field summary for F [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	4	16.00%
No answer	21	84.00%

ID	Response
60	Big telcos and monopolistic companies won't follow this for more revenues, With their lawyers they won't allow users have say
119	It is good but most private operators won't move a head with their ROI
179	"Preserve network security and integrity" sounds like it could be manipulated or taken advantage of to circumvent Network Neutrality Principle. I recommend including clear conditions for what the authors mean this point entails. Otherwise, I agree with the rest of the statement.
192	ISPs should be allowed to deviate from the Network Neutrality principle as long as it is not an action targeted to exclude competitors



Field summary for I

Internet service providers should be able to give force to a court order or a legal provision in accordance with human rights norms and international law.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	3	5.26%	5.26%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	3	5.26%	5.26%
4 (4)	0	0.00%	
5 (5)	16	28.07%	28.07%
No answer	3	5.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.18		
Standard deviation	1.47		
Sum (Answers)	22	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	25	100.00%	



Field summary for J [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	3	12.00%
No answer	22	88.00%

ID	Response
60	It is good
119	Trusted net works are important
161	Confused with the legalese in "should be able to give force" text -- not sure what it means



Field summary for J [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	5	20.00%
No answer	20	80.00%

ID	Response
200	more a rule of law issue that could constitute "acceptable" breaking of nn-principle
60	This rules and regulations must be followed by all governments. once the rules where passed this must be passed to all governments to follow. In practice it is impossible to implement.
225	I am not sure about the background around this comment, so actually refraining from commenting rather than rating (1)
119	Legal route will take years. people courts are important
167	This means taking the issues to courts. There should be benchmarks to help judges ases what is reasoble traffic is.



Field summary for K

Internet service providers should publish meaningful and transparent information on characteristics and conditions of the Internet access services they offer, the connection speeds that are to be provided, and their traffic management practices, notably with regard to how Internet access services may be affected by simultaneous usage of other services provided by the Internet service provider.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	2	3.33%	5.00%
2 (2)	1	1.67%	
3 (3)	2	3.33%	3.33%
4 (4)	5	8.33%	
5 (5)	15	25.00%	33.33%
No answer	0	0.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.2		
Standard deviation	1.26		
Sum (Answers)	25	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	25	100.00%	



Field summary for L [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	2	8.00%
No answer	23	92.00%

ID	Response
60	IT is too good
119	It is good



Field summary for L [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	2	8.00%
No answer	23	92.00%

ID	Response
60	we must have multi stake holders portal to address people concerns. openly
119	We must have open access models web portals to make people to check Internet speeds. QOS bench marks are need



Field summary for M

All players in the Internet value chain, including governments, shall provide robust and meaningful privacy protections for individuals' data in accordance with human rights norms and international law. In particular, any techniques to inspect or analyse Internet traffic shall be in accordance with privacy and data protection obligations and subject to clear legal protections.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	1.69%
2 (2)	1	1.69%	
3 (3)	2	3.39%	3.39%
4 (4)	3	5.08%	
5 (5)	18	30.51%	35.59%
No answer	1	1.67%	
Arithmetic mean	4.58		
Standard deviation	0.83		
Sum (Answers)	24	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	25	100.00%	



Field summary for N [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	4.00%
No answer	24	96.00%

ID	Response
119	It is good



Field summary for N [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	4.00%
No answer	24	96.00%

ID	Response
119	How can people judge good data and bad data



Field summary for O

The competent national authorities should promote independent testing of Internet traffic management practices, ensure the availability of Internet access and evaluate the compatibility of Internet access policies with the Network Neutrality Principle as well as with the respect of human rights norms and international law. National authorities should publicly report their findings. Complaint procedures to address network neutrality violations should be available and violations should attract appropriate fines. All individuals and stakeholders should have the possibility to contribute to the detection, reporting and correction of violations of the Network Neutrality Principle.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	0.00%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	1	1.67%	1.67%
4 (4)	5	8.33%	
5 (5)	19	31.67%	40.00%
No answer	0	0.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.72		
Standard deviation	0.54		
Sum (Answers)	25	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	25	100.00%	



Field summary for P [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	2	8.00%
No answer	23	92.00%

ID	Response
60	IT is good law
119	Government people wont act. universities and other consumer oriented groups must be in place to serve internet users



Field summary for P [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	2	8.00%
No answer	23	92.00%

ID	Response
60	All national authorities wont follow this. people like use must help people to have thier rights in palce. We must have global portal to make netneutrality in plance to serve world openly based on main rules practices and rights of people
119	It is good but big ISPS will kill Small ISPS with thier money power and other bad practices.



Field summary for G

What stakeholder group do you primarily identify as?

Answer	Count	Percentage
Civil society (A1)	7	28.00%
Business (A2)	5	20.00%
Internet technical community (A3)	2	8.00%
Governments (A4)	0	0.00%
Academic community (A5)	8	32.00%
International organisations (A6)	0	0.00%
No answer	3	12.00%