



---

## Results

### Survey 668159

---

Number of records in this query:	24
Total records in survey:	24
Percentage of total:	100.00%



### Field summary for A

As proposed by para 42 of the DCPR Recommendations, as a general rule, any restriction on the kind of content permitted on a particular platform should be clearly stated and communicated within the Terms of Service.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	0.00%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	0	0.00%	0.00%
4 (4)	2	8.33%	
5 (5)	3	12.50%	20.83%
No answer	0	0.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.6		
Standard deviation	0.55		
Sum (Answers)	5	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	5	100.00%	



---

### Field summary for B [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

---

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	20.00%
No answer	4	80.00%

ID	Response
37	It is good recomm



### Field summary for B [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	3	60.00%
No answer	2	40.00%

ID	Response
37	Most of the users wont read terms of services. It must be win win model
58	And be necessary and proportionate to the nature of the platform
73	Agreed, however, often the ToS are so long and hard to understand that it is an ineffective medium to communicate such restrictions. I also recommend making them more clear or providing a summary of the ToS that includes them clearly stated.



### Field summary for C

As proposed by para 42 of the DCPR Recommendations, platforms should provide effective mechanisms aimed at signaling content that is forbidden under the applicable laws, and requesting its removal. Furthermore, as proposed by para 43 of the DCPR Recommendations, platforms should have effective mechanisms in place to notify those platform users whose content has been removed or prohibited, and provide them with an opportunity to challenge and override illegitimate restrictions.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	0.00%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	1	4.17%	4.17%
4 (4)	0	0.00%	
5 (5)	4	16.67%	16.67%
No answer	0	0.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.6		
Standard deviation	0.89		
Sum (Answers)	5	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	5	100.00%	



---

### Field summary for D [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

---

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	20.00%
No answer	4	80.00%

ID	Response
37	IT is good recomm



---

### Field summary for D [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

---

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	20.00%
No answer	4	80.00%

ID	Response
37	in practice it is not possible as plat forms are cross boarder



### Field summary for E

As proposed by para 42 of the DCPR Recommendations, any content restriction requests relating to unlawful content shall specify the legal basis for the assertion that the content is unlawful; the Internet identifier and description of the allegedly unlawful content; and the procedure to be followed in order to challenge the removal of the content.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	0.00%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	1	4.17%	4.17%
4 (4)	1	4.17%	
5 (5)	3	12.50%	16.67%
No answer	0	0.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.4		
Standard deviation	0.89		
Sum (Answers)	5	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	5	100.00%	





---

### Field summary for F [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

---

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	20.00%
No answer	4	80.00%

ID	Response
37	It is good recomm



---

## Field summary for F [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

---

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	2	40.00%
No answer	3	60.00%

ID	Response
37	It practice it is not possible. Unlawful content rules must be passed.
73	The only aspect that is striking me as potentially problematic about this question regards the differences between local, regional, national, and international law as well as jurisdiction.



### Field summary for I

As proposed by para 45 of the DCPR Recommendations, transparent procedures should be adopted for the handling and reporting of governmental requests for blocking and takedown in a way that is consistent with internationally recognised human rights laws and standards.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	0.00%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	0	0.00%	0.00%
4 (4)	2	8.33%	
5 (5)	3	12.50%	20.83%
No answer	0	0.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.6		
Standard deviation	0.55		
Sum (Answers)	5	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	5	100.00%	



---

### Field summary for J [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

---

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	20.00%
No answer	4	80.00%

ID	Response
37	It can missused



---

### Field summary for J [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

---

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	20.00%
No answer	4	80.00%

ID	Response
37	each country law have its own rules. The government power will use data in different plans



### Field summary for K

As proposed by para 45 of the DCPR Recommendations, platform operators should release a periodic transparency report providing, per each jurisdiction in which they operate, the amount and type of requests they have received, and of those that have been acted upon.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	4.17%
2 (2)	1	4.17%	
3 (3)	0	0.00%	0.00%
4 (4)	1	4.17%	
5 (5)	3	12.50%	16.67%
No answer	0	0.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.2		
Standard deviation	1.3		
Sum (Answers)	5	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	5	100.00%	



---

### Field summary for L [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

---

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	20.00%
No answer	4	80.00%

ID	Response
37	IT is good



---

### Field summary for L [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

---

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	20.00%
No answer	4	80.00%

ID	Response
37	In practice it is not good. Consumers must have say,





### Field summary for M

As proposed by para 35 of the DCPR Recommendations, to reduce the imbalance between platform users and platform operators, terms of service should be negotiated with consumer associations or other organisations representing Internet users.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	4.17%
2 (2)	1	4.17%	
3 (3)	1	4.17%	4.17%
4 (4)	1	4.17%	
5 (5)	2	8.33%	12.50%
No answer	0	0.00%	
Arithmetic mean	3.8		
Standard deviation	1.3		
Sum (Answers)	5	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	5	100.00%	



---

### Field summary for N [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

---

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	20.00%
No answer	4	80.00%

ID	Response
37	It is good recomm.



---

### Field summary for N [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

---

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	20.00%
No answer	4	80.00%

ID	Response
37	But direct consumer contract is good.



### Field summary for O

As proposed by para 35 of the DCPR Recommendations, when platforms roll out additional functionalities that require a change in the terms of service, users should be allowed to continue to use the platform under the previous terms of service (without use of those additional functionalities) absent compelling technical reasons.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	4.17%
2 (2)	1	4.17%	
3 (3)	1	4.17%	4.17%
4 (4)	1	4.17%	
5 (5)	2	8.33%	12.50%
No answer	0	0.00%	
Arithmetic mean	3.8		
Standard deviation	1.3		
Sum (Answers)	5	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	5	100.00%	



---

### Field summary for P [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

---

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	20.00%
No answer	4	80.00%

ID	Response
37	It is good recomm



---

## Field summary for P [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

---

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	3	60.00%
No answer	2	40.00%

ID	Response
37	In practice it is not possible. we should have win win model
73	I like this idea in theory, but it could be taxing for platforms. Is there any research or data on how feasible this is?
80	Backwards compatibility of the terms may create hinderances for the development of the platforms. New services would have competitive advantages, due to their freedom of such constraints. This way, such provision might also have the effect of making the terms of use very generic.



### Field summary for Q

As proposed by para 35 of the DCPR Recommendations, termination of a user's account has severe impact on the ability of that user to exercise his or her fundamental rights, and therefore should be considered only as a last resort remedy. Accordingly, as proposed by para 35 of the DCPR Recommendations, platforms should only impose this solution upon repeated violation of Terms of Service, or if there is a specific court order in this sense.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	4.35%
2 (2)	1	4.35%	
3 (3)	1	4.35%	4.35%
4 (4)	0	0.00%	
5 (5)	2	8.70%	8.70%
No answer	1	4.17%	
Arithmetic mean	3.75		
Standard deviation	1.5		
Sum (Answers)	4	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	5	100.00%	



---

### Field summary for R [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

---

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	5	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------





---

### Field summary for R [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

---

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	5	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



### Field summary for S

As proposed by para 22 of the DCPR Recommendations, platforms shall obtain consent in order to use personal data, while, as proposed by para 18 of the DCPR Recommendations, platform operators should always and clearly communicate in their terms of service whether and for how long they are storing any personal data

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	0.00%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	0	0.00%	0.00%
4 (4)	0	0.00%	
5 (5)	5	20.83%	20.83%
No answer	0	0.00%	
Arithmetic mean	5		
Standard deviation	0		
Sum (Answers)	5	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	5	100.00%	



---

## Field summary for T [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

---

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	2	40.00%
No answer	3	60.00%

ID	Response
37	It is good recomm.
80	Also what data they are storing.



---

### Field summary for T [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

---

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	20.00%
No answer	4	80.00%

ID	Response
37	Personal data is getting shared for business purposes. in practice it is not possible



### Field summary for U

As proposed by para 23 of the DCPR Recommendations, platforms should specify in their Terms of Service that the processing of personal data is limited to the scope of existing services, or explicitly state that the data can be used for specific additional services. The enrolment of platform users into any new service shall require the acceptance of new Terms of Service.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	0.00%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	0	0.00%	0.00%
4 (4)	1	4.17%	
5 (5)	4	16.67%	20.83%
No answer	0	0.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.8		
Standard deviation	0.45		
Sum (Answers)	5	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	5	100.00%	



---

### Field summary for V [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

---

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	20.00%
No answer	4	80.00%

ID	Response
37	IT is good recomm



---

### Field summary for V [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

---

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	20.00%
No answer	4	80.00%

ID	Response
37	User must have say. In most cases it wont happen. Trusted paltforms is real answer for this issue



---

## Field summary for G

What stakeholder group do you primarily identify as?

---

Answer	Count	Percentage
Civil society (A1)	3	60.00%
Business (A2)	0	0.00%
Internet technical community (A3)	0	0.00%
Governments (A4)	0	0.00%
Academic community (A5)	2	40.00%
International organisations (A6)	0	0.00%
No answer	0	0.00%