



Results

Survey 548719

Number of records in this query:	20
Total records in survey:	20
Percentage of total:	100.00%



Field summary for A

All Internet Governance stakeholders including Governments and Internet Governance Organizations could consider Core Internet Values as Reference Standards to be respected while formulating Internet Policy.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	0.00%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	2	12.50%	12.50%
4 (4)	4	25.00%	
5 (5)	10	62.50%	87.50%
No answer	4	20.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.5		
Standard deviation	0.73		
Sum (Answers)	16	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	20	100.00%	



Field summary for B [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	3	15.00%
No answer	17	85.00%

ID	Response
38	Major concern should be neutrality of the Internet
64	Having an agreed baseline is necessary to build any consensus
84	test entry to see the functionality of the survey platform. Technically defined as a “dumb technology”, the Internet is architected to be neutral, fair to the whole world, without any intelligence, except to faithfully transport information in packets. Technically defined as a “dumb technology”, the Internet is architected to be neutral, fair to the whole world, without any intelligence, except to faithfully transport information in packets. Technically defined as a “dumb technology”, the Internet is architected to be neutral, fair to the whole world, without any intelligence, except to faithfully transport information in packets. Technically defined as a “dumb technology”, the Internet is architected to be neutral, fair to the whole world, without any intelligence, except to faithfully transport information in packets. Technically defined as a “dumb technology”, the Internet is architected to be neutral, fair to the whole world, without any intelligence, except to faithfully transport information in packets. Technically defined as a “dumb technology”, the Internet is architected to be neutral, fair to the whole world, without any intelligence, except to faithfully transport information in packets. Technically defined as a “dumb technology”, the Internet is architected to be neutral, fair to the whole world, without any intelligence, except to faithfully transport information in packets. Technically defined as a “dumb technology”, the Internet is architected to be neutral, fair to the whole world, without any intelligence, except to faithfully transport information in packets.



Field summary for B [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	3	15.00%
No answer	17	85.00%

ID	Response
38	Privacy issues under governments administration are not considered as issues important for the user community
63	They should consider the technical values but not consider them ethically binding
64	Who decides what are Core Internet Values



Field summary for C

Technically defined as a “dumb technology”, the Internet is architected to be neutral, fair to the whole world, without any intelligence, except to faithfully transport information in packets. Do you think this is valuable, and that this value must be preserved?

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	11.76%
2 (2)	2	11.76%	
3 (3)	1	5.88%	5.88%
4 (4)	3	17.65%	
5 (5)	11	64.71%	82.35%
No answer	3	15.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.35		
Standard deviation	1.06		
Sum (Answers)	17	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	20	100.00%	



Field summary for ba [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	2	10.00%
No answer	18	90.00%

ID	Response
38	Must be preserved.
93	Equal treatment of network traffic



Field summary for ba [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	3	15.00%
No answer	17	85.00%

ID	Response
38	Are any means considered that will defend this statement?
64	UCP is not faithful (it can lose packates) but it is part of the Internet, so the definition of what neutral means is unclear
93	Quality of service (QoS) in the scope of net neutrality



Field summary for E

Internet is architected to work on various types of devices, regardless of the device type (for e.g. phone, computer, ATM) or device design or their operating systems, so designed to be Inter-operable. Do you agree that it is valuable to preserve the interoperability of the Internet?

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	0.00%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	0	0.00%	0.00%
4 (4)	2	12.50%	
5 (5)	14	87.50%	100.00%
No answer	4	20.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.88		
Standard deviation	0.34		
Sum (Answers)	16	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	20	100.00%	



Field summary for D [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	5.00%
No answer	19	95.00%

ID	Response
38	Ineroperability is important as it allows different technologies to be applied allowing access to the rich and the poor.



Field summary for D [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	5.00%
No answer	19	95.00%

ID	Response
38	At what expence it will be provided in the future?



Field summary for I

The end to end architecture is a design feature that ensures that communication originating from one user (one end) reaches the intended recipient at the other end without any interference, censorship or control. Do you think this end-to-end principle is valuable?

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	6.67%
2 (2)	1	6.67%	
3 (3)	1	6.67%	6.67%
4 (4)	1	6.67%	
5 (5)	12	80.00%	86.67%
No answer	5	25.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.6		
Standard deviation	0.91		
Sum (Answers)	15	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	20	100.00%	



Field summary for F [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	2	10.00%
No answer	18	90.00%

ID	Response
38	Yes, this is valuable and is core technology of the Internet that made them as a winner among the possible network solutions
65	zaerezr



Field summary for F [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	2	10.00%
No answer	18	90.00%

ID	Response
63	I don't agree that this is an accurate description of what the end to end principle means
93	Internet access services and Specialised services



Field summary for K

Internet Standards are developed by open collaborative processes, where participation is open to everyone. The standards so developed are open for debate, collaboration and improvement. There are other ways by which Internet is a “Open” network. Do you think this is valuable?

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	1	6.25%	6.25%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	2	12.50%	12.50%
4 (4)	3	18.75%	
5 (5)	10	62.50%	81.25%
No answer	4	20.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.31		
Standard deviation	1.14		
Sum (Answers)	16	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	20	100.00%	



Field summary for J [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	2	10.00%
No answer	18	90.00%

ID	Response
38	Openess is good but introduces some vulnerabilities (the case of NSA experts in designing IETF standards allowing backdors in the devices.
67	In addition to open standards, also use Open Source code only



Field summary for J [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	3	15.00%
No answer	17	85.00%

ID	Response
63	Ambiguous
91	The assumption is incorrect. Internet Standards also include standards at all layers, formed by bodies like IEEE, ISO, IEC etc. which aren't open like IETF
93	Transparency, Switching



Field summary for M

The technical values of the Internet have caused the Internet to evolve as an ecosystem that fosters “Permissionless Innovation” Any person or enterprise is not required to get permission or any form of licence from any organization to create a web application or commercial product or any form of innovation on the Internet. Do you consider this valuable and do you think this value must be preserved?

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	1	6.25%	12.50%
2 (2)	1	6.25%	
3 (3)	1	6.25%	6.25%
4 (4)	2	12.50%	
5 (5)	11	68.75%	81.25%
No answer	4	20.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.31		
Standard deviation	1.25		
Sum (Answers)	16	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	20	100.00%	



Field summary for L [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	5.00%
No answer	19	95.00%

ID	Response
38	This option confirms "Internet is for everyone" the ISOC slogan



Field summary for L [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	3	15.00%
No answer	17	85.00%

ID	Response
38	Weakness could be solved with appropriate prevention methods in case of misuse
63	Ambiguous
91	Depends on Policies of Sovereign states



Field summary for O

Internet evolved and continues to evolve as a Global Internet. There is one Internet, undivided. Do you consider this valuable?

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	1	6.25%	6.25%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	0	0.00%	0.00%
4 (4)	2	12.50%	
5 (5)	13	81.25%	93.75%
No answer	4	20.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.63		
Standard deviation	1.02		
Sum (Answers)	16	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	20	100.00%	



Field summary for N [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	5.00%
No answer	19	95.00%

ID	Response
38	It is valuable, but unfortunately is not real, even now.



Field summary for N [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	2	10.00%
No answer	18	90.00%

ID	Response
91	Internet is nothing just connection of Networks. Nobody architected "Global Internet". Not sure what we mean by one Internet.
94	Fragmentation



Field summary for Q

The Internet Community believes that it is unnecessary to tamper with the architectural design of the Internet unless a drastic and critical need arises to change something. The rule is expressed as “If it ain’t broke, don’t fix it” Do you think this is valuable?

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	13.33%
2 (2)	2	13.33%	
3 (3)	6	40.00%	40.00%
4 (4)	3	20.00%	
5 (5)	4	26.67%	46.67%
No answer	5	25.00%	
Arithmetic mean	3.6		
Standard deviation	1.06		
Sum (Answers)	15	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	20	100.00%	



Field summary for P [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	20	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for P [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	3	15.00%
No answer	17	85.00%

ID	Response
63	Engineers are not always the best judge of what is needed
91	Opinion of some cannot be taken as view of "Internet Community". Beyond fixing, it's improving on an ongoing basis.
93	QoS



Field summary for G

What stakeholder group do you primarily identify as?

Answer	Count	Percentage
Civil society (A1)	8	40.00%
Private sector (A2)	0	0.00%
Technical community (A3)	3	15.00%
Academic community (A4)	1	5.00%
Governments (A5)	3	15.00%
Intergovernmental organisations (A6)	0	0.00%
No answer	5	25.00%