



Results

Survey 994122

Number of records in this query:	26
Total records in survey:	26
Percentage of total:	100.00%



Field summary for A

Gender is an integral aspect of internet governance. All genders - women, men, trans - must shape and define internet governance.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	1	3.85%	3.85%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	0	0.00%	0.00%
4 (4)	0	0.00%	
5 (5)	25	96.15%	96.15%
No answer	0	0.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.85		
Standard deviation	0.78		
Sum (Answers)	26	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	26	100.00%	



Field summary for B [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	3	11.54%
No answer	23	88.46%

ID	Response
63	agency and new perspectives on meaningful consent
67	More diverse participation at IGF
68	Representation from all over the world



Field summary for B [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	5	19.23%
No answer	21	80.77%

ID	Response
63	binary and women protectionism combined with children protection
66	gender should be mainstreamed as well in the other sessions
67	Creating an enabling environment that doesn't end up being just about ticking "diversity" boxes
68	Not enough representation - token diversity panels
75	LGBT communities in Global South need gender sensitivity training to overcome harmful stereotypes of gender within their communities so they don't take these online



Field summary for C

Not just women, but individuals with varied gender identities must be considered as stakeholders in internet governance. It is important to include trans and alternative gender expressions when thinking about internet governance.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	1	3.85%	3.85%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	0	0.00%	0.00%
4 (4)	2	7.69%	
5 (5)	23	88.46%	96.15%
No answer	0	0.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.77		
Standard deviation	0.82		
Sum (Answers)	26	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	26	100.00%	



Field summary for D [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	2	7.69%
No answer	24	92.31%

ID	Response
66	good that there was session in lgbt rights in the 2015 igf. hopefully there could be more
68	Such work has started



Field summary for D [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	3.85%
No answer	25	96.15%

ID	Response
68	Only one session dedicated to this cause



Field summary for E

Gender Report Cards are an effective accountability mechanism to ensure that enough women and trans persons participate as speakers and moderators in IGF sessions and that gender concerns are raised.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	1	4.00%	8.00%
2 (2)	1	4.00%	
3 (3)	9	36.00%	36.00%
4 (4)	6	24.00%	
5 (5)	8	32.00%	56.00%
No answer	1	3.85%	
Arithmetic mean	3.76		
Standard deviation	1.09		
Sum (Answers)	25	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	26	100.00%	



Field summary for F [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	5	19.23%
No answer	21	80.77%

ID	Response
56	They allow us to quantify participation of women and trans persons
63	we need to have a 50% criteria when identifying panels' speakers
67	It's been several years since this was done, maybe a good chance for some also comparative analysis. And some regional IGFs have started doing this, so also maybe a chance to consolidate
68	Quantifiable data
70	Only if filling them up is obligatory for all workshops and panels organizers



Field summary for F [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	5	19.23%
No answer	21	80.77%

ID	Response
56	They are restrictive beyond a point and will not be of any use
63	not good only scoring
64	Gender report cards are useful to a certain extent - looking at numbers - but this does not guarantee quality of discourse so we must have other mechanisms as well.
67	Formal integration into IGF monitoring process - still not fully there
68	Not an accurate representation of the IGF



Field summary for I

It is important to have diversity within the 'gender' category itself. Women and trans persons who are present in internet governance spaces must represent different geographies, languages, ethnicities and so forth.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	1	3.85%	3.85%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	0	0.00%	0.00%
4 (4)	2	7.69%	
5 (5)	23	88.46%	96.15%
No answer	0	0.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.77		
Standard deviation	0.82		
Sum (Answers)	26	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	26	100.00%	



Field summary for J [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	3.85%
No answer	25	96.15%

ID	Response
70	include also physical abilities



Field summary for J [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	3	11.54%
No answer	23	88.46%

ID	Response
56	If this doesn't happen, then progress in the Internet and the Internet Governance space will be restricted to those from the 'global north'
67	But this is also about content, much more than just about identity of the people, but also the issues and perspectives that is inclusive of their concerns. So all speakers should endeavour to include these perspectives, regardless of their gender identity
75	Also different types of disabilities must be represented, differently abled and the neuroatypical



Field summary for K

It is crucial to ensure that internet governance is free from harassment based on gender. We propose an anti-sexual harassment policy for the IGF as a concrete next step.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	1	3.85%	3.85%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	0	0.00%	0.00%
4 (4)	4	15.38%	
5 (5)	21	80.77%	96.15%
No answer	0	0.00%	
Arithmetic mean	4.69		
Standard deviation	0.84		
Sum (Answers)	26	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	26	100.00%	



Field summary for L [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	4	15.38%
No answer	22	84.62%

ID	Response
41	Absolutely!
63	having a code of conduct will bring the issue to light
64	IGF globally can really set the tone - for regional and national IGF and also the stakeholders that participate. Having a policy is vitally important!
67	Can be included within code of conduct already in existence as part of engagement with process



Field summary for L [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	3	11.54%
No answer	23	88.46%

ID	Response
63	too many 'young' women experience harrasment
67	That it must be adequately publicised, and measures can be taken to address complaints should it come up (e.g. written warning from secretariat)
84	governments and companies have to commit themselves especially on gender quota. until now, the gender quota is basically made by civil society.



Field summary for G

What stakeholder group do you primarily identify as?

Answer	Count	Percentage
Civil society (A1)	22	84.62%
Private sector (A2)	0	0.00%
Technical community (A3)	1	3.85%
Academic community (A4)	3	11.54%
Governments (A5)	0	0.00%
Intergovernmental organisations (A6)	0	0.00%
No answer	0	0.00%