

Recommendation for Internet Governance Forum, 2017, Geneva Switzerland

1. Time (month) and place of IGF meeting will determine the involvement and participation of participants who can attend. The placement of the event at the end of the year like in November and December will be a challenge as it relates to the annual financial administration cycle and working meeting activities in the country, especially from the government sector.
2. Open Forum is indeed one of the affirmative policies to increase the participation of government stakeholders. However, it is also necessary to consider the distribution of time slots that are more representative, evenly throughout the day of IGF implementation. Therefore, there is no Open Forum which is then placed in the last day ahead of the closing ceremony.
3. Supposedly High-Level sessions are keep being held as in previous IGFs. Because this is one of the things that can be utilized to build the enthusiasm of government stakeholders in interacting with other stakeholders. In addition, bilateral discussions can be triggered as a follow-up to this meeting, which can make the IGF even more meaningful for the country's bilateral and multilateral policy-making process.
4. For IGF 2018, it would be better if in addition to balance policy issues (cross-jurisdiction) and technical issue matters, it also strengthens cross-cutting issues related to digital literacy and digital culture. Because the problems and dynamics that grow recently in several countries very much related to the issue of digital literacy and culture.
5. For the IGF village, please standardize the layout and dimensions (size). Because for participants that fill booth, it will be more resource-saving if the layout and dimensions are always the same as the previous event. They can use the same material for the booth. Also, the booth should be representative and properly equipped by the committee, since it also the place where some of bilateral meeting occurred.
6. Initiatives such as the National and Regional Initiative (NRI) as well as Youth IGF should be given more time and space slots. If necessary, we should provide proper acknowledgment given to the government stakeholder who involve multistakeholder as well as young people in preparation for the event, being part of the delegation and/or

having supports for the multistakeholder / young people that having role as a speaker of the sessions.