

Internet Governance Forum (IGF) , Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico  
December 5 to 9, 2016

**Workshop Report**

Session Title	WS126: Safe and Secure Cyberspace for Youth: Solutions for Asia and Africa
Date	Friday, December 9, 2016
Time	09:30-10:30
Session Organizer	-Mr. Kenta Mochizuki, <i>Attorney at Law (New York)</i> , Public Policy & Corporate Governance, Corporate Management Group, Yahoo Japan Corporation -Dr. Cisse Kane, <i>President</i> , African Civil Society on the Information Society (ACSIS)
Chair/Moderator	-Mr. Kenta Mochizuki, <i>Attorney at Law (New York)</i> , Public Policy & Corporate Governance, Corporate Management Group, Yahoo Japan Corporation
Rapporteur/Notetaker	-Mr. Kenta Mochizuki, <i>Attorney at Law (New York)</i> , Public Policy & Corporate Governance, Corporate Management Group, Yahoo Japan Corporation
List of Speakers and their institutional affiliations	-Dr. Makoto Yokozawa, <i>Senior Consultant</i> , Nomura Research Institute/ <i>Visiting Professor</i> , The Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University -Ms. Veronica Donoso, <i>Executive Director</i> , International Association of Internet Hotlines (INHOPE) -Mr. Arsene Tungali, <i>Co-founder &amp; Executive Director</i> , Rudi International -Mr. Raymond Yang, <i>Ambassador</i> , NetMission.Asia -Ms. Shirley Wong, <i>Representative</i> , Hong Kong Youth Internet Governance Forum (HKYIGF)
Key Issues raised (1 sentence per issue):	Q1. Who is/are responsible for the protection of youth online? Q2. How do you create and maintain collaborative frameworks? Q3. Stakeholder's Roles (Governments & IOs, Private Sector, Technical Community, Academia, and Civil Society): Any requests each other?
If there were presentations during the session, please provide a 1-paragraph summary	-Dr. Makoto Yokozawa He introduced activities by Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications of Japan as well as Safer Internet Association (SIA) in

<p>for each Presentation</p>	<p>which Yahoo! JAPAN take part as a regular member.</p> <p>-Ms. Veronica Donoso</p> <p>She explained the proliferation of Internet access in the future and its potential risks, and then introduced worldwide activities to combat against child sexual abuse materials (CSAMs) by Internet Association of Internet Hotlines (INHOPE).</p> <p>-Mr. Arsene Tungali</p> <p>He made a presentation on the up-to-date situation of Internet safety in Democratic and Republic of Congo (DRC). He emphasized the importance of Internet education especially for family, church, school and community/society, and insisted that each of the above stakeholders has to play a respective role in protecting children online.</p> <p>-Mr. Raymond Yang &amp; Ms. Shirley Wong</p> <p>Mr. Yang briefly explained that it depends on each generation what kind of application is used and therefore we all have to bear it in mind in thinking about how to protect younger generations online. Ms. Wong, while showing a short movie on online shopping and overcharging with misused information, emphasized the necessity of Internet education. In addition, activities of Youth Internet Governance Forum (YIGF) was also introduced.</p>
<p>Please describe the Discussions that took place during the workshop session: (3 paragraphs)</p>	<p>-Every stakeholder including governments as well as Internet providers must play an individual role in protecting youth online, but especially families need to have this kind of discussion because children trust their parents more than anyone else and they listen to parents' voices about how to use the Internet safely.</p> <p>-When it comes to the protection of youth, we need to understand cultural, age, and regional differences, accept them, and cooperate with various stakeholders by sharing best practices and resources. In particular, we need engagement from the industry and companies need to be committed to protect youth online.</p> <p>-Educating the next generation is utmost important, so more people should participate in our workshop so that we can exchange abundant views on this topic. In this regard, this kind of workshop should be held at the very beginning of the next IGF.</p>

<p>Please describe any Participant suggestions regarding the way forward/ potential next steps /key takeaways: (3 paragraphs)</p>	<p>-One participant suggested Intelligent Risk Management (IRM) to support all relevant stakeholders to play their respective roles in protecting children online. The IRM is a technology that keeps children away from harmful contents.</p> <p>-One participant said that although education can be a good choice to protect children online, in some Asian and African countries there is no such resource and money. Therefore, a certain kind of restriction to use the Internet could serve the objective.</p> <p>-One participant introduced an example in Hong Kong and said that the government does not spend money for Internet governance education even though it does have money. Hence, he argued the necessity to do some more lobbying so that the government spends more money for the Internet governance education.</p>
---	--

**In addition to the above, kindly also reply to the following for the IGF's gender reporting:**

1. Estimate the overall number of the participants present at the session:

→Approximately 20 participants (due to last day's morning, according to some participants and IGF staffs)

2. Estimate the overall number of women present at the session:

→Approximately 10

3. To what extent did the session discuss gender equality and/or women's empowerment?

→We did not discuss gender equality and women's empowerment ostensibly due to the theme of our workshop. That said, these points were implicitly included in our discussion, absolutely.

4. If the session addressed issues related to gender equality and/or women's empowerment, please provide a brief summary of the discussion:

→N/A (Please refer to the above.)

5. Others

→We could not start our workshop on time since ICC-BASIS Business Briefing (for Business Delegates) held just before our workshop did not finish until 9:00. Therefore, we started our workshop after 9:20 and finished around 10:35 in accordance with what an IGF staff said.

→Since our workshop was scheduled at the early morning of Day 4, the last day of the IGF, we could not invite many participants and because of that, there were not so many participants in our

session. Both our panelists as well as IGF staffs said that there should be more participants taking into account the characteristics of our workshop. We are supposed to submit our workshop proposal for the next IGF in order to update our activities and also think about this issue from different perspectives, so we would be grateful if the IGF could consider to schedule this kind of workshop at the beginning of the next IGF.