

Ukrainian Internet Governance Forum (IGF-UA) 2013	
https://docs.google.com/forms/d/16ZreFz81jSs-2ePykJWdQeg5ZBpV_8XM_Ti4sOAAjwc/viewform	
Name & email of the person filling this form *	info@igf-ua.org
Full name of the event	IV Ukrainian Internet Governance Forum (IGF-UA)
How were the meeting's overall themes identified, what were the themes?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Internet infrastructure development 2. Modern Internet: zone of freedom from rights and duties? 3. Cyrillic domains 4. Development of e-governance
How were the meeting's subthemes identified? Please list them and provide definitions if so required	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • European experience and steps for legislation harmonization • tentative solutions of problematic issues of infrastructure development for enforcement of right of free Internet access; • new trends (connection quality and speed), functionality and services; • do Ukraine need the Fund of generic services? • providing of technological neutrality or conversion of radio-frequency spectrum? • Internet control: juristical and technical anonymity; • Safety of Internet users: who must pay? • Myth as for law enforcement officers' tasks; • tendencies of Internet criminalization • why were international domains applied? (true and declarative aims, concerned parties) • what problems and what new possibilities appeared when they were applied? • Cyrillic domains - who should unite efforts together to expand the opportunities and minimization of risks? • fulfillment of tasks, assigned by the concept of e-governance development; • partnership - panacea or a new form of cooperation? • is there any chance for electronic democracy in Ukraine?
How was the meeting agenda developed, what were the main session/workshop topics?	<p>IGF-UA program was developed during the meetings of the Organizing Committee of IGF-UA and discussed in the mailing list of the Organizing Committee IGF-UA.</p> <p>The main themes are all 4 sessions.</p>
Meeting outcomes, the priority issues identified by the meeting: What were they? Why are they important? Next steps to address/consider the topics further?	<p>During IGF-UA following suggestions were made on priority issues and objectives and steps to address them:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the topic "Internet infrastructure development": <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Industry associations to inform the Ukrainian President about status of execution of his assignments from 0379 21.03.2012, "On the introduction of new technologies in the field of telecommunications, which meet international standards, the right of free public access to the Internet", which requires that the Ukrainian authorities to take measures to simplified procedure for approval of projects to create the technological infrastructure of the Internet and network access to it in the settlements, to facilitate increased access to the Internet and to promote the development of the technological infrastructure of the Internet and network access to consumers. • Recommend to the Regulator and the Government to abandon the idea of a universal service fund, as such, it has lost its relevance for the development of the telecommunications market.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Make the effort to stop the abuse of law and violations of the principles of fair competition rights of economic entities in the telecommunications sector in the city of Kharkiv. • To support the initiative of the Regulator for inclusion in the National Action Plan for developing a national plan topic about the development of broadband Internet access, and take an active part in its development. • Call on the relevant government authorities for the early holding of conversion and PSD to license 3G, 4G, LTE. • Recommend to the State Statistics Service to introduce new forms of reporting in accordance with the indicators of development of the information society. • Take part in the creation of information - communication education network. <p>2. On the topic “Modern Internet: zone of freedom from rights and duties?”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To recommend to the relevant government agencies and NGOs to create a unified state strategy against cybercrime • Need to train users the basics of security, from school • An important goal - a partnership of law enforcement agencies with market players in the field of cybercrime • It is necessary to focus on certain types of crimes, the most dangerous to the ranking in terms of rhenium cybercrime in resource-limited settings <p>3. On the topic “Cyrillic domains”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is necessary to make the rules domain. RBM easier • It is expedient to carry out a marketing campaign to promote the domain. RBM from logo • Consider expedient the introduction of a unified system of rules domains • To initiate a public debate on the simplification of the administrative model of public domain <p>4. On the topic “Development of e-governance”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To introduce foreign experience in the implementation of e-government • It is necessary to ensure the implementation of standards in the field of e-government on statistical indicators • Improving the efficiency of public councils at the executive power • The introduction of the system of continuous monitoring and control • Increase the technological readiness of the state government
<p>How is the event recognized by other stakeholders (level of participation and commitment, value/influence of the IGF to other national/regional processes)</p>	<p>Participants of the IGF-UA were about 120 people representing various stakeholders:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The government of Ukraine, including the legislative and executive branches • Representatives of international organizations. • Representatives of non-governmental organizations and professional

	associations in Ukraine
How does the event tie up to feeder events? For example, in the case of AfIGF, LACIGF, EURODIG, ArabIGF it will be to understand how they link up to individual and sub-regional IG initiatives under their geographic area	<p>Subjects of EURODIG (20-21 June, Lisbon) was reflected in the program and in the speeches of experts at the 4th IGF-UA, including topics such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multistakeholder approach to fighting cybercrime and safeguarding; • Cybersecurity • Network neutrality • Internet Privacy
How do regional IGs place the global IGF within their own processes?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Forum supports the practice of IGF-UA and believes that the mandate for the IGF-forums should be continued; • The work of inculcating approaches in the field of Internet governance, part of which is a forum IGF-UA, requires consolidation of efforts of a wide range of stakeholders • This work should be not only in the period direct preparation activities, and on an ongoing basis; • Necessary to prepare protocol of intentions on the multistakeholder principles in the preparation of activities related to IGF-UA; • To prepare protocol of intentions on the multistakeholder principles should involve governments, NGOs and the private sector