#### **Dynamic Coalition Coordination Group - Virtual Meeting XI**

#### **Summary Report**

#### 10 October 2016

- The eleventh virtual meeting of the DC Coordination Group (DCCG) was held on 10
  October 2016. The meeting was facilitated by Markus Kummer, with Eleonora
  Mazzucchi representing the IGF Secretariat. The Webex recording of the meeting can be
  accessed here: <a href="https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B-d-EIIQWsUiaENtcUZBNkVpSWs/view">https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B-d-EIIQWsUiaENtcUZBNkVpSWs/view</a>
- 2. The Secretariat provided a brief logistical update on the IGF Village in Guadalajara to help move forward the planning on **DCs' shared booth**. In the brief presentation the measurements and mock-up for the booth were shared (please see Annex III). It was explained that the IGF Village area, which will include more than 40 booths this year, will be just outside the entrance to the venue, past outdoor registration desks, and shaded with a tarp or tent-like covering. The types of documents and materials that will be at the booth were discussed. It was agreed that the following would be produced and available for distribution: copies of the 2016 DC substantive papers; the issue surveys relating to the papers, which participants would be encouraged to fill; sign-up sheets for participants interested in joining a particular DC (these would be put out for any DC wishing to have one); and one or double-sided "intro pages" on each DC. The latter are intended to give some history and background on the DCs, as well as a chance for coordinators to introduce themselves and choose what to highlight about their coalition. To keep the format of these more or less consistent, the Secretariat will distribute a template for DCs to fill out.

The Secretariat will send out a separate communication on the booth with the above template, as well as a reminder for DC members to volunteer to man the booth. Some volunteers have already put forward their names in the rolling <a href="Planning Doc.">Planning Doc.</a> The details will be consolidated in an hourly timetable distributed by the Secretariat.

3. An overview was given of the first drafts of DC main session papers received by the Secretariat. The submission of the papers by the 30 September deadline was a determinant of which coalitions would be speaking in the main session. The 12 participating coalitions (papers published <a href="here">here</a>) are the DCs on Accessibility and Disability (DCAD); Blockchain Technologies (DC-Blockchain); Child Online Safety (DC-COS); Community Connectivity (DC3); Core Internet Values (DC-CIV); Gender and Internet Governance (DCGIG); Innovative Approaches to Connecting the Unconnected; Internet and Climate Change (DCICC); the Internet of Things (DC-IoT); Network Neutrality (DCNN); Public Access in Libraries (DC-PAL); and Internet Rights and Principles (IRPC).

It was remarked that this high number of submissions was a very positive indication of DCs' commitment to participate in the main session and has increased from last year (in 2015, 8 DCs produced papers and took part in the main session).

With this number, it was determined that in order to allow for sufficient time for interaction with participants, **the interventions from each DC/exchanges with the moderator would likely be about 4-minutes long.** One DC representative noted that the main session would be a good opportunity for DCs to get feedback on their work from the participants in the room; it was also noted that for time management purposes it might be best for the discussion with participants to take place after all the interventions.

There was broad agreement that the two or three questions the moderator would have in hand for each DC would be pre-agreed between the moderator and the coalition.

- 4. Tatiana Tropina, Senior Researcher at the Max Planck Institute, was confirmed as moderator, pending the finalisation of her funding arrangements to travel to the IGF.
  - A dedicated call with Tatiana and the main session DCs will take place in a couple of weeks' time in order to establish a coordinated approach to the session, and to kick off discussions with each DC speaker about their intervention.
- 5. The second, "inward looking" **1.5-hour DC Coordination Session** (schedule available <a href="here">here</a>) was briefly discussed. Elaborating on DCs' idea to use the session as a best practices exchange, Markus suggested this could also be a chance to expand on the common framework for DCs and build on the existing <a href="Coordination Group ToR">Coordination Group ToR</a>. He remarked on the very encouraging results that had already emerged from the ToR, which has helped to set guidelines ensuring DCs are active and inclusive (the Secretariat has incorporated the concept of the "three opens" as a requirement for every DC).
- 6. Jeremy Malcolm briefed the group on the **issue surveys, which will be applied to the 12 DC outputs and made public starting 1 November.** He explained the surveys were a practical way of distilling the outputs and of giving IGF participants the opportunity to familiarize themselves with DCs' work ahead of the meeting. DCs will be asked to extract 5 propositions from these papers to put forward in the surveys (please see Annex IV for Jeremy's guidelines). The 5 points may be closely aligned with the questions the DCs choose to have the moderator ask in the main session. The initial results of the surveys will therefore help inform the moderator, in agreement with the DC, of which questions to focus on in the session.

Jeremy further mentioned that one month following the IGF, a report compiling the surveys' results would be drafted. This may be used by DCs to help shape their future outputs.

It was agreed the surveys would be actively promoted once they go live on 1 November on the IGF's website, social media channels and mailing lists, and would also be disseminated as widely as possible by DC members through their communities.

7. The next call will take place the week of 24 October, with Tatiana, the moderator of the main session, participating and coordinating with DCs to prepare for the session. The Secretariat will send out a Doodle poll for this in the coming days.

# **Annex I - Participants List**

Markus Kummer (Co-Facilitator)

Eleonora Mazzucchi (IGF Secretariat)

Karolina Andersdotter (DC-PAL)

Maarten Botterman (DC-IoT)

Hanane Boujemi (IRPC)

John Carr (DC-COS)

Olivier Crépin-Leblond (DC-CIV)

Ephraim Percy Kenyanito (Youth Coalition)

Jeremy Malcolm (DC on Freedom of Expression and Freedom of the Media on the Internet)

Smita Vanniyar (DC on Gender and Internet Governance)

# **Annex II - Draft Agenda**

## **I. IGF 2016 Session Planning**

- a. DC Main Session
- b. DC Coordination Session
- II. DC Booth
- III. AOB

## Annex III - IGF 2016 Booth Details

- -The individual Booth size will be 3x3 m (9 m<sup>2</sup>).
- -The IGF Village area is located outside, but covered from the top. It will be positioned just after the registration desks and in front of the main -entrance to the venue.
- -The whole area will have a free WiFi access. Each Booth will be equipped with 3-4 power outlets, 3 chairs and one desk. The Host Country will provide pins and tapes, so that the promotional material can be displayed.
- -Personalized booth walls with printed images and additional equipment, such as television screens or extra furniture, may be available upon request and at a cost



# Annex IV - Guidelines on Transferring DC Outputs to Issue Surveys (provided by Jeremy Malcolm on 30 September 2016)

Since today is the deadline for Dynamic Coalitions to finalize their 2016 output papers, that means that there is one more month from today for them to extract up to five propositions from those papers for inclusion in the IGF 2016 DC issue survey using Idea Rating Sheets.

For those who didn't participate last year or have forgotten, this means that you need to distill up to five of the recommendations from your output paper into a very short, single sentence or phase that people can give feedback on - "strongly agree", "strongly disagree", or something in between.

### ② Good examples:

- -Youth representatives must be involved in developing online child protection policies
- -Regulators should assess zero rating on a case-by-case basis rather than banning it outright
- -Trade agreements should not be used to ban government open source mandates
- -Internet intermediaries have responsibilities to filter out hate speech in user uploaded content

#### Bad examples:

- -Deliver access to the next billion
- -The Internet is a global interconnected network of devices communicating using the IP protocol
- -The Standardisation Dynamic Coalition aims to exchange best practices among Internet standards experts
- -The Internet is an important facility for bringing access to knowledge to ordinary people, especially from developing countries. This requires all stakeholders to work in cooperation. Governments can provide a facilitating environment for investment, and business stakeholders can ensure that their operations support the sustainable development goals.

If you need help with this (or if you're not sure why the examples above are good or bad), please let me know. I will send a reminder prior to the deadline of 31 October.