Name: Abdoul Aziz Issaka Hassane
Organisation: UDDM University of Maradi, Niger
Country and Region: African Group
Date of Submission: 08/01/2020
Stakeholder Group: Civil Society

Input to the Call for inputs for 2020 and taking stock of 2019

1. Taking Stock of the 2019 programming, outputs, preparatory process, community intersessional activities and the event itself: What worked well? What worked not so well?

1.1 Preparatory process (timeline, call for workshop proposals, workshop selection, MAG meetings etc.)

> For the workshop proposals specifics topics concerning less developing countries must be proposed.
> be more selective concerning workshop selection, sometimes subjects are almost the same

1.2 Community intersessional activities (Best Practice Forums, Dynamic Coalitions) and National, Regional and Youth IGFs - please comment on process, content, and in particular on how these intersessional activities were included in the programme content of the Berlin IGF.

> Well done, we found good collaboration in intersessional activities

1.3 IGF 2019 overall program structure and flow (in particular the three thematic tracks: digital inclusion; data governance; and security, safety, stability and resilience)

> very good thematic and all were well discussed between intersession activities

1.4 IGF 2019 programme content: Please comment on the content of workshops, main sessions, high level sessions, open forums, BPF, DC and NRIs sessions, as well as on the speakers and quality of discussions.

> there are so many panelist in some session for a few time so it is hard to get the real meaning

of the subject because no enough time for discussions

1.5 IGF 2019 participants

we need more people from academy and it is good opportunity for developing countries

1.6 IGF 2019 village

very good

1.7 IGF 2019 communications, outreach and outputs (add relevant link here)

very good

1.8 IGF 2019 logistics (venue, catering, security, registration etc.)

registration worked well
security are so kind and helpful

1.9 Any other comments on the IGF 2019

well managed, no comment, congratulation

2. What are your suggestions for improvements for 2020?

2.1 Preparatory process (timeline, call for workshop proposals, workshop selection, MAG and OC meetings etc.)

Be more selective about the workshop proposals,
Give more time to discuss an subject

2.2 <u>Community intersessional activities (BPFs, Dynamic Coalitions) and National, Regional and Youth IGFs and how they can best connect with the global IGF.</u>

Be more opining to topics related to Africa, and some less developing countries in Europe and Asia

2.3 <u>Overall programme structure and flow (introductory and concluding sessions, main and other sessions, schedule structure etc.)</u>

similar to IGF 2019

2.4 <u>Do you think there should be thematic tracks as there were in 2019? Please indicate if you believe the three 2019 thematic tracks should be retained (digital inclusion; data governance; and security, safety, stability and resilience). If not, what should take their place or what theme should be added?</u>

Similar to IGF 2019

2.5 <u>Programme content (workshops, main sessions, high level sessions, open forums, speakers)</u>

No comment

2.6 IGF 2020 <u>Participants</u>

More academy staff, government and young people

2.7 Any other comments on the IGF 2020

provide fellowship to academy staff and young people from developing countries to participate and contribute to IGF 2020