Input to the Call for inputs for 2020 and taking stock of 2019

1. Taking Stock of the 2019 programming, outputs, preparatory process, community intersessional activities and the event itself: What worked well? What worked not so well?

1.1 Preparatory process (timeline, call for workshop proposals, workshop selection, MAG meetings etc.)

Was made on time. Multi stakeholders.

1.2 Community intersessional activities (Best Practice Forums, Dynamic Coalitions) and National, Regional and Youth IGFs - please comment on process, content, and in particular on how these intersessional activities were included in the programme content of the Berlin IGF.

Youth IGF was great. The issues addressed are the one the youth wants to talk about, but next time focus more on others regions besides Africa and Europe.

1.3 IGF 2019 overall program structure and flow (in particular the three thematic tracks: digital inclusion; data governance; and security, safety, stability and resilience)

was good and was a lot of intersection between the topics. It seems that all the structure of the IGF is connected more now.

1.4 IGF 2019 programme content: Please comment on the content of workshops, main sessions, high level sessions, open forums, BPF, DC and NRIs sessions, as well as on the speakers and quality of discussions.

The topics are part of the issues the users of internet have now. that's why the discussion about it was a one that is trying to get responses and catch in time with those needs. One thing I
didn't like it is the assumption on all the panels that gender is only women and not including gender diverse people and the lack of representation of these last two group on high level panels

1.5 IGF 2019 participants

is diverse, but we need more people from the global south and more LGBTQI+ too

1.6 IGF 2019 village

is diverse, but we need more people from the global south and more LGBTQI+ too

1.7 IGF 2019 communications, outreach and outputs (add relevant link here)

Was nice to have an open mic in which we can say all the things that we want to adress. Hope these things will be have in account for the next IGF

1.8 IGF 2019 logistics (venue, catering, security, registration etc.)

Excellent, easy, fast.

1.9 Any other comments on the IGF 2019

What are your suggestions for improvements for IGF 2020?

2. What are your suggestions for improvements for 2020?

2.1 Preparatory process (timeline, call for workshop proposals, workshop selection, MAG and OC meetings etc.)

N/A
2.2 Community intersessional activities (BPFs, Dynamic Coalitions) and National, Regional and Youth IGFs and how they can best connect with the global IGF.

Think outside the box, be more open to new concepts, and address more problems beyond Africa, Europe and Asia.

2.3 Overall programme structure and flow (introductory and concluding sessions, main and other sessions, schedule structure etc.)

The number of sessions could be similar to the IGF 2019.

2.4 Do you think there should be thematic tracks as there were in 2019? Please indicate if you believe the three 2019 thematic tracks should be retained (digital inclusion; data governance; and security, safety, stability and resilience). If not, what should take their place or what theme should be added?

Digital inclusion should stay with a gender diverse and feminist approach. Data Governance too is so important right now in our democracies. Security & Safety also is important and is part of everyone conversations now, experts and not, we all are worry about it.

2.5 Programme content (workshops, main sessions, high level sessions, open forums, speakers)

N/A

2.6 IGF 2020 Participants

More people from the global south and gender diverse (LGBTQI+), most of the topics were Europe center, and the reality of us in the south is not the same as Europe.

2.7 Any other comments on the IGF 2020
n/a