Input to the Call for inputs for 2020 and taking stock of 2019

1. Taking Stock of the 2019 programming, outputs, preparatory process, community intersessional activities and the event itself: What worked well? What worked not so well?

1.1 Preparatory process (timeline, call for workshop proposals, workshop selection, MAG meetings etc.)

Organization of the preparatory process was excellent.

1.2 Community intersessional activities (Best Practice Forums, Dynamic Coalitions) and National, Regional and Youth IGFs - please comment on process, content, and in particular on how these intersessional activities were included in the programme content of the Berlin IGF.

I participated in intersessional activity of NRIs, and it was excellent and huge work - thanks a lot to Anja Gengo, Marilyn Cade and all others. The results of these intersessional activity were well represented in Berlin.

1.3 IGF 2019 overall program structure and flow (in particular the three thematic tracks: digital inclusion; data governance; and security, safety, stability and resilience)

This is the most difficult issue, because it is impossible to participate simultaneously in different IGF events( That's why I can't comment this issue

1.4 IGF 2019 programme content: Please comment on the content of workshops, main sessions, high level sessions, open forums, BPF, DC and NRIs sessions, as well as on the speakers and quality of discussions.
I think the participation of Angela Merkel was among major successes of IGF-2019. It was also extremely important to see a lot of parliamentarians and 100+ participants of Youth IGF Summit. Majority of IGF events was of the highest level of quality. But some of them raised serious questions. For example, OF40 "EU Delegation & Youth IGF Movement". Who are the young participants of this event? How are they involved in national Youth IGF initiatives? Where is the financial report of Youth IGF Movement? How can it be Open Forum with opening floor for discussion only for few minutes before the end of the event and for only one out-of-agenda participant?

1.5 IGF 2019 participants

Astonishing number of participants! Extremely high level and diversity of representation!

1.6 IGF 2019 village

At first it was not so easy to find right booth, but always someone was ready to help.

1.7 IGF 2019 communications, outreach and outputs (add relevant link here)

Very timely, very comprehensive, very important!

1.8 IGF 2019 logistics (venue, catering, security, registration etc.)

Fantastic! Especially regarding the number of participants!

1.9 Any other comments on the IGF 2019

It seems to me that IGF2019 opened new horizon for IGF

2. What are your suggestions for improvements for 2020?
2.1 Preparatory process (timeline, call for workshop proposals, workshop selection, MAG and OC meetings etc.)

It would be great to involve more youth into preparatory process. Is it possible to create separate track for proposals from youth?

2.2 Community intersessional activities (BPFs, Dynamic Coalitions) and National, Regional and Youth IGFs and how they can best connect with the global IGF.

More visibility for these community intersessional activities

2.3 Overall programme structure and flow (introductory and concluding sessions, main and other sessions, schedule structure etc.)

It would be great to repeat and enhance the experience of Youth IGF Summit in Berlin

2.4 Do you think there should be thematic tracks as there were in 2019? Please indicate if you believe the three 2019 thematic tracks should be retained (digital inclusion; data governance; and security, safety, stability and resilience). If not, what should take their place or what theme should be added?

No comment

2.5 Programme content (workshops, main sessions, high level sessions, open forums, speakers)

More high-level speakers

2.6 IGF 2020 Participants

more youth!

2.7 Any other comments on the IGF 2020
Good luck!