



IoT Global Good Practice
www.iot-dynamic-coalition.org

To the IGF Secretariat

17 January 2017

Contribution to the IGF Community Public Consultation Call for Inputs - Taking stock of the 2016 work program and 11th IGF and suggestions for 2017 and 12th IGF

Dear Secretariat,

Thanks again for the excellent preparations and care that went into IGF2016. In Mexico, I specifically appreciated the excellent ambience of the surrounding open air food-, talk- and music. That really helped to relax effectively while meeting with other IGF participants.

I also want to express the need to further more towards “developing multistakeholder views on global good practice” relating to different areas of practice for the Internet. There are still today few platforms for global, cross-platform, cross-sector learning, whereas the Internet has become increasingly global.

In DC IoT we reflect this by keeping track of what we believe to be “global good practice” and ask every time anew for new feedback and input to this paper. This is one way, and by using the “DC” vehicle we make clear it is a multiannual perspective we are working on.

Furthermore, rather than attempting to be complete, I would like to focus on two specific opportunities:

- 1- Scheduling: during IGF2016 it was unfortunate to find that at the same time of the DC IoT slot time was allotted to a workshop that also focused on IoT, which meant that stakeholders that were crucially interested in the subject could not be in both sessions. Whereas perfect scheduling does not exist it merits more attention than the title alone to see whether sessions that are planned at the same time would be too much addressing the same interested stakeholders.

*Proposal is to have a small team check on the content of all workshops that are scheduled for the same time to determine whether there is no undue overlap. We *could* ask volunteers to look into this per day, so no group (of three to five people?) would need to do a lot of tedious work.*

- 2- Involving the stakeholders in setting IGF focus priorities: in order to fully benefit from the opinions of many stakeholders that are interested in IGF we cannot rely on discussions during sessions alone. Some people feel less comfortable expressing themselves in public, and/or in other languages than their mother tongue. Wouldn't it be great to make it a little bit easier for them to contribute to IGF priority setting by inviting them to contribute individually, for instance by a survey.

Proposal is to invite people to answer up to three questions relevant to priority-setting for the upcoming or future IGFs at the time of online registration. At that time we have the full attention of all that are interested to participate in person or online.

Wishing you very well with further preparations towards IGF2017, rest assured DC IoT continued its work after the excellent meeting in Guadalajara,

Best regards



Maarten Botterman
Chairman, Dynamic Coalition on the Internet of Things,

M: +31622490673