

## Japan IGF Bimonthly Event: Jan 2017

Date and time : Thu 26<sup>th</sup> Jan 2017 18:00-20:00 JST

Venue : JPNIC office meeting room, Tokyo, Japan

Participants : 23 onsite, 3 online

Survey: 20 responses

- Gender: Male 70%, Female 15%, No Response 15%
- Stakeholders: Internet operations related 47%, Business 16%, Government 10%, Others 11% No Response 16%
- Satisfaction : Satisfied 30%, Generally Satisfied 50%, Average 15%, Slightly Unsatisfied 0%, Unsatisfied 0%, No Response 5%

Program:

1. Reflection of Internet Governance Forum Japan (IGCJ) in 2016
  2. Discussion on Future of IGCJ
- 

Summary:

A presentation was made by a member of IGCJ Coordination Team on key reflections, followed by discussions by participants. The presentation slides are available at (In Japanese): <http://igcj.jp/meetings/2017/0126/igcj-review-2016.pdf>

On reflection of IGCJ in 2016:

- Recap of the original concept
  - A) To construct a firm base in Japan for well-informed considerations of Internet Governance issues
  - B) As appropriate, to make recommendations on Internet Governance issues for in-country stakeholders and global arena
- Coverage of themes
  - Themes covered in past meetings and requests from participants were shared for review and feedback.
  - A suggestion was made to invite more experts from different arena, and let them share how they see the Internet, from their respective area of expertise.
- Stakeholder Participation
  - Some observations were made about the need to accommodate more non-technical participants to the forum, for wider participation
  - On the other hand, there was strong support for IGCJ to have in depth discussions

based on expertise, and to continue with the current direction

- An observation was made on the challenges to attract participation from businesses in Japan. A suggestion was made to invite a business speaker from overseas to share why they engage in Internet Governance discussions.
  
- Future role of IGCJ
  - A question was asked whether IGCJ should continue in the current form or to have more proactive role in collecting feedback in the Japanese community, and provide inputs to various call for comments, within and outside Japan. This led to further discussions on the goal of IGCJ.
  - A request was expressed for IGCJ to have more proactive role in submitting comments for policy measures in Japan. On the other hand, comments were expressed that this may change the role of IGCJ. It serves to have open discussions from a diversity of views, without negotiated outcomes. It would be up to each individual stakeholders to take it up to their group, to take further actions as needed.
  - An observation was made that contributions from the Japanese community such as to Best Practices Forum in the global IGF would be a good way to contribute to the global forum.
  
- Future actions
  - Increase interested participants for a more wide range of themes, to enrich discussions in the community
  - Consider to provide basic introduction/a glossary of terms for new comers to the topic
  - Use more simple, comprehensible vocabulary, without using the word “Internet Governance”
  - Provide a short update on global trends on regular basis
  - Enhance collaboration with other communities and organisations: There is no need to try to cover all themes at IGCJ as long as there is good collaboration with other existing communities and IGCJ to act as a hub.
  - Have regularity in the meeting schedule, for easier planning for attendance: Every 4<sup>th</sup> Thursday of odd number month (May change on certain months)
  - Measure the ratio of new comers at every meetings, to observe how IGCJ expands as the community
  - Participants are also requested to think about the themes of their interest and to have discussions at IGCJ