



Results

Survey 331975

Number of records in this query:	8
Total records in survey:	8
Percentage of total:	100.00%



Field summary for A


The multidisciplinary stakeholders interested in the development, deployment and governance of blockchain technologies should move the focus of analysis beyond distribution of infrastructure in decentralized technologies, and instead identify the specific goals that the infrastructure is designed to achieve.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	14.29%
2 (2)	1	14.29%	
3 (3)	2	28.57%	28.57%
4 (4)	3	42.86%	
5 (5)	1	14.29%	57.14%
No answer	1	12.50%	
Arithmetic mean	3.57		
Standard deviation	0.98		
Sum (Answers)	7	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	8	100.00%	



Field summary for A

The multidisciplinary stakeholders interested in the development, deployment and governance of blockchain technologies should move the focus of analysis beyond distribution of infrastructure in decentralized technologies, and instead identify the specific goals that the infrastructure is designed to achieve.





Field summary for AA [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	3	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for AA [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for AA [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	3	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for AA [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for B


In the context of the blockchain's decentralized architecture, a successful blockchain technology governance framework must both enable (a) a system that is resilient against a take-over at the institutional (social, economic or political) layer, and (b) design criteria of open access, inclusiveness and distribution of control.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	0.00%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	3	42.86%	42.86%
4 (4)	2	28.57%	
5 (5)	2	28.57%	57.14%
No answer	1	12.50%	
Arithmetic mean	3.86		
Standard deviation	0.9		
Sum (Answers)	7	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	8	100.00%	



Field summary for B

In the context of the blockchain's decentralized architecture, a successful blockchain technology governance framework must both enable (a) a system that is resilient against a take-over at the institutional (social, economic or political) layer, and (b) design criteria of open access, inclusiveness and distribution of control.





Field summary for BB [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	3	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for BB [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for BB [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	3	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for BB [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for C


To realize the potential of blockchain technologies to enable increased empowerment and freedom through decentralization, stakeholders must address the issue of how the architecture of the technology itself can be harnessed to form decentralized power.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	0.00%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	2	28.57%	28.57%
4 (4)	3	42.86%	
5 (5)	2	28.57%	71.43%
No answer	1	12.50%	
Arithmetic mean	4		
Standard deviation	0.82		
Sum (Answers)	7	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	8	100.00%	



Field summary for C

To realize the potential of blockchain technologies to enable increased empowerment and freedom through decentralization, stakeholders must address the issue of how the architecture of the technology itself can be harnessed to form decentralized power.





Field summary for CC [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	3	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for CC [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for CC [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	3	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for CC [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for D


It remains unclear whether a technological artifact alone can protect itself from centralization at the social or institutional layer by incorporating a particular design feature that makes it impossible, or at least harder, to implement institutional centralization.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	28.57%
2 (2)	2	28.57%	
3 (3)	4	57.14%	57.14%
4 (4)	0	0.00%	
5 (5)	1	14.29%	14.29%
No answer	1	12.50%	
Arithmetic mean	3		
Standard deviation	1		
Sum (Answers)	7	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	8	100.00%	



Field summary for D

It remains unclear whether a technological artifact alone can protect itself from centralization at the social or institutional layer by incorporating a particular design feature that makes it impossible, or at least harder, to implement institutional centralization.





Field summary for DD [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	3	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for DD [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for DD [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	3	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for DD [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for E


Stakeholders should transparently and intentionally consider what normative steps, if any, should be taken in order to manage the accumulation and distribution of “soft power” in decentralized decision-making.

Answer	Count	Percentage	Sum
1 (1)	0	0.00%	0.00%
2 (2)	0	0.00%	
3 (3)	2	28.57%	28.57%
4 (4)	1	14.29%	
5 (5)	4	57.14%	71.43%
No answer	1	12.50%	
Arithmetic mean	4.29		
Standard deviation	0.95		
Sum (Answers)	7	100.00%	100.00%
Number of cases	8	100.00%	



Field summary for E

Stakeholders should transparently and intentionally consider what normative steps, if any, should be taken in order to manage the accumulation and distribution of “soft power” in decentralized decision-making.





Field summary for EE [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	3	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for EE [Strengths and opportunities]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for EE [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments


Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	0	0.00%
No answer	3	100.00%

ID	Response
----	----------



Field summary for EE [Concerns and weaknesses]

Optionally add brief comments





Field summary for G


What stakeholder group do you primarily identify as?

Answer	Count	Percentage
Civil society (A1)	1	33.33%
Private sector (A2)	0	0.00%
Technical community (A3)	1	33.33%
Academic community (A4)	1	33.33%
Governments (A5)	0	0.00%
Intergovernmental organisations (A6)	0	0.00%
No answer	0	0.00%



Field summary for G

What stakeholder group do you primarily identify as?





Field summary for H

To submit, sign the form by entering your name. Names will be publicly available.

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	1	33.33%
No answer	2	66.67%

ID	Response
52	Vrikson Acosta www.linkedin.com/in/vrikson-acosta www.twitter.com/Vrikson_Acosta