

IGF Community Public Consultation: Call for Inputs - Taking stock of the 2017 work programme and 12th IGF and suggestions for 2018 and 13th IGF

Morgan Frost

On Behalf of Center for International Private Enterprise (CIPE), National Democratic Institute (NDI), and Center for International Media Assistance (CIMA)

Compliments

- The IGF Village was extremely valuable and useful for networking and collaboration. Please continue to do this in the future.
- Simultaneous transcripts of each session was valued and highly appreciated.

Suggestions

- During the panel proposal period, registering panelists as confirmed participants months in advance was quite difficult. Please consider confirming panelists closer to the time of the actual forum.
- Greater transparency of MAG consultations when proposals are submitted would be useful.
- Please provide more bilateral meeting room spaces at future IGFs.
- At the next IGF, please provide better communication and insights for newer IGF attendees on what the dynamic coalitions are and how to join them.
- Please provide a better space for the lightning talks. Having the lightning talk in the village was difficult due to the noise volume and high levels of traffic in front of the presenter.
- Please archive all lightning session talks (i.e. provide PowerPoints on the IGF website) for those who were unable to attend.
- To make IGF more solutions-focused, please consider providing all IGF participants with the option of opt-ing into email listservs separated by theme (i.e. digital security, for example) to continue the conversation post-IGF.
- The format of the proposed panel needs to reflect the format of the room provided for the session. For example, a birds of a feather session was meant to be informal, but instead panelists were seated in a more formalized setting in front of an audience.
- Consider separating the schedule of sessions by theme, or consider dedicating a day for the same theme. (Example: IGF Day 1 – Focus on Women and Youth).