

RAW FILE

SEPTEMBER 11, 2018

DC COORDINATION MEETING

8:00 AM CST

Services provided by:
Caption First, Inc.
P.O. Box 3066
Monument, CO 80132
800-825-5234
www.captionfirst.com

This text, document, or file is based on live transcription. Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART), captioning, and/or live transcription are provided in order to facilitate communication accessibility and may not be a totally verbatim record of the proceedings. This text, document, or file is not to be distributed or used in any way that may violate copyright law.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Hello, this is Markus. I hope you can hear me. It's the top of the hour but we don't seem to have many participants on the call yet. I suggest waiting a few more minutes. How many have a participation? (Too Low to Hear)?

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Let's try again. It's Markus speaking. Can you hear me?

>> Yes, we can hear you, Markus.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Okay. By now we have a few more people on the call. As you will recall, this is a follow-up to the last time, the last call about our maybe collaboration with the Mack. This is new territory. We've never done that before. So we're trying to figure it out as we go along. Today Eleanor has circulated a paper about that's supposed to be the basis of our discussion and the builds on the drafts of Timea who is also on the call here. Hi Timea. And has produced as they made a first proposal, she and colleagues from the business community and Eleanor tried to incorporate that with her matrix she had circulated on the list.

I wonder Eleanor, whether if you would like to walk us through the paper you circulated. Thank you very much for doing this. As it was relatively short before the call we'd benefit you walks us through the paper. By the way, Luis, I think we do have transcription. But I cannot see the transcription on the screen. Can you maybe also give technical explanation of what we need to do or where it is.

>> Hi, yeah, the text in the multimedia viewer panel you have in the bottom right so they don't interfere with the presentation. I am seeing what you are talking.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Well, the multimedia.

>> The viewer. I see right at the bottom. I see it now, yes.

>> Okay. It's a view open by default odors I would work on that.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: That's helpful to know. I don't know everybody understands that. Live transcription -- my name by the way it's KU, not KRU. If you can make it bigger on the window, I don't think we have presentation that will be great. I'm with you Eleanor and I think Timea would be helpful if she came after that but Eleanor first.

>> Sorry, Eleonora at the moment, Markus, maybe you want to -- move on forward to another person.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Okay. Why don't we ask Timea then to get started. Again, Timea is not a machine of the college. He is a magnum for the business community, and she had worked very hard on preparing a paper, and her input had to go into what Eleonora has circulated. Timea, would you like to take the floor.

>> TIMEA: Hello, everyone. I'm happy to be on this coordination call. I've listened a couple times before but I never actually participated. This is new for me. So thanks for having me.

As Markus said, I am a member of the lang and I volunteer to co-facilitate this session with Jutta and Markus and hoping to work closely with all of you who are interested in this. As Markus said, during the mag meeting in July, it was decided that mag members and the coalition will work together on organizing a main session on development innovation and economic issues and the business community and the business on the bag were very keen on participating in this session: So that's the background of where I'm coming from. We worked with Eleonora, Markus and Jutta and thinking through what the session could be and that resulted in the little document that Eleonora shared with all of you this morning. These are just a couple of topline ideas of how we can best approach the session in 80 minutes featuring as much of the dynamics work as possible in a comprehensive way and link that to the agenda of the IGF, this team, and then obviously work with the members of the mag to round it out.

So the document Eleonora has shared this morning. We're looking forward to hearing your views, and we can take it from there. I don't think I can say anything more at the moment.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you very much. That was a very good introduction and Eleonora, I apologize, she's busy trying to connect Gerry. We do ask, as you know, some problems with WebEx it is not particularly easy friendly people with accessibility issues. Eleonora is working in the background to connect Gerry.

I wonder, as Jutta is officially the co-shepherd for this main session with Timea, as they're both mag members, whether Jutta would like to add comments to where we are.

>> Yes, of course, Markus. I'm happy to do so. So I'm not sure whether all of the DC representatives in this call had the opportunity already to have a look at the document that Eleonora had sent around, but maybe we can give a little more detail on what's in that and discuss the approach to the dynamic coalition.

As far as I remember, the general idea was that having a joint session from the dynamic coalition together with input from the mag based on the development goals and Eleonora had prepared in advance so-called metrics trying to find out where and how the work of the -- I think the 16 different dynamic coalitions connect directly to the development goals and where are some crossing lines. And then she was able to mark which are the (audio breaking up) that are mostly related to the work of the dynamic coalitions. These were quality education, agenda (audio breaking up) work and economic growth (audio breaking up).

(Talking in the background.)

>> JUTTA CROLL: Excuse me. Sorry. It was so noisy. Sorry for the interruption. The dynamic (audio breaking up) FG tend STG16 and partnership protocols STG17. So far I'm not aware how many of the dynamic coalitions already took part in the exercise of the metrics. That might be a point discussed further whether any other dynamic coalition which has not yet taken part would like to point out another STG that is moving forward to their work in the dynamic coalition and the process the next step would be trying to set up the main session

around the work of the DCs and the STGs. Thank you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you, very much for that. Again, its Eleonora is still busy in the background. Again, once to the scribes my name is KUMMER and not KRUMMER. That's right. Who else would like to comment on the paper? I know we have had very little time, but I think it is an excellent starting point and it's concise and also the matrix linking the DC activities to the sustainable development goals is very helpful and shows also the relevance of the DCs. Anyone who would like to take the floor?

>> (Sigh).

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Someone sighing.

>> (Too Low to Hear).

>> Good afternoon. As very briefly. Thank you very much. To Eleanor.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Can you speak up a bit? You're very faint.

>> Thanks very much to Eleanor. (Too Low to Hear) putting this paper together. So I think this is a really good start, and I think that we can look at this to see how we can input into it the different DC, the different characteristics of the different DCs. So for the point of view of the Internet of things, I think it links well to the economic development innovation as well to the sustainable development goals. So very happy to work on this and see how we can have a link into this main session. Thank you and apologies for the noise. Sorry.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Noworries. Thank you for that. Anybody else? Eleonora should be able to speak. Just jump in.

>> This is Timea, if I may?

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Yes, please.

>> One point, I forgot to add to my earlier remarks is that I think it would be a really great idea if while waiting on this main session we not just work to highlight the substance that's coming out from the work of the dynamic coalition but also implement as much as we can in practice. I'm looking here on the guidelines from the dynamic coalition on accessibility and the use coalition so we can actually demonstrate that we can organize a meeting to those guidelines including the speakers and keeping all those really good practical guidance in mind while we do that. I think that will be something we should keep in mind.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you again. Excellent comments and the accessibility guidelines are set very high up on our agenda. And having Gerry on the call from the dynamic coalition accessibility will be very helpful on that. But it also highlights the problems we do have. I'm not sure if he's on the call by now. We had some problems collecting all the issues Andrea doesn't get tired of highlights. We have WebEx that clearly puts the issue high on the agenda. Again, we can have some excellent work in the cause of the past -- as well as the oldest dynamic coalition and has definitely produced tangible (audiobreaking up) those are obviously the other issues mentioned like participation. These are all important issues.

Other comments? Also just for organize point of view, the work would then be taken to the newly created list which is dedicated to

preparing the session which is not (audio breaking up) which is an openlist and has mag members who are interested in preparing the session. I think it is the business community has indicated their interest in organizing this session. Other comments? I don't necessarily see the hands up if you put a hand up. For some reason WebEx has changed and I can't find the chat. There is an icon at the bottom that when I press -- okay. Eleonora signals that she can speak now. Eleonora, you heard what the others said I hope at least. Nevertheless quickly walk us through the paper.

>> Hi, Markus. Hi, everyone. Sincere deep apologies for me coming in so late. I'm not totally sure what you have covered already, but I am so sorry that you had to get started without me. I was trying to connect Gerry Ellis in the background. And we tried a number of different things and unfortunately nothing seemed to work. I know that you were waiting for me, so I had to jump in.

Maybe Markus, you can quickly let me know was the paper covered already?

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Yes. We had Timea.

(Feedback).

>> MARKUS KUMMER: There is some interference. For those who don't speak, kindly mute their microphone. Timea gave a brief introduction and so did Jutta. I think in essence, people have, both Eleonora and Jutta have covered -- it nevertheless would be interesting to have you as the author to have your quick introduction. If you'll repeat some of the elements that have been mentioned before, I would put that down to spiral learning. Sometimes it helps. Please go ahead.

>> Eleonora: So the starting point for this document was really the matrix that was shared with DCs and many DCs kindly contributed to and tweaked and made more precise where their work overlaps with the STGs and what we saw in this great visualization of DCs stacked against the SDGs, it's how much convergence there is and also that really -- I think it confirms that DCs took the right approach to have -- decide to have a main session on the STGs because there are so many DCs that really do communicate with the STGs and it's sort of a perfect approach to have all DCs included in the session.

But what was also great about this matrix is that I think it really showed that it -- you can extract some pretty good policy questions from it. And I think they were more or less the same policy questions that Timea and some mag members had come up with in their own thinking of this session. Basically what -- how do Internet and ICTs drive in a positive way? The SDGs and then at the same time what are some of the challenges and risks associated with rapid technological change and just in a couple of examples you see that very clearly in the work of some DCs like the DC on child online safety, for instance, would be very well placed to talk about some of the risks and, of course, also benefits, but some of the risks and also the DC on public access in libraries, to cite another example, would be very well placed to talk about how public access contributes to STG on quality education and on gender equality. I think this particular so matic helps us find private ground with the private sector collaborators from the

mag too to really explore also what a good enabling policy environment is to drive the STGs.

So I think this was a really good theme in general to bring all of us together. And this is reflected in the document that it's a blend of what we see out of this matrix between DCs and SDGs and some thoughts that came from Timea and mag colleagues about how to bring in the private sector perspective too.

I don't know if you started to discuss a little bit format and structure, but I think that we also see that there could be a good approach in having DCs come in with introductory remarks or a first segment that explains their work in the context of the SDGs and then also have after that expert interventions from government or private sector speakers that are mag colleagues would be very helpful in recruiting into this session for some more in-depth policy discussion. In this document I very tentatively called it a three-part structure to have participant engagement, but this is something we can think more strategically about. It doesn't have to be in this ordered way, intro interventions and then participant engagement maybe we can incorporate participant engagement throughout the session rather than in this ordered way, but that's something that's up for discussion as well as the proposed policy questions. We want to know from DCs whether they think that this is a reasonable substantive approach.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you very much for this. Eleonora.
(Feedback).

>> MARKUS KUMMER: I think -- again, I have interference. I would call on all of you, mute yourselves if you're not speaking. At this stage, I think all we need to do is have the buy in of the dynamic coalitions that we're ready to move on that basis and then develop the structure of the session and take Eleonora's paper as an excellent starting point. Obviously it will need quite a bit of fine-tuning, but, again, some very clear parameters are there. It will not be a DC session, but it will be a co-production, so to speak, between the DCs and the mag.

And we have the same dilemma the past two years when we had to say if you have that many DCs in a limited time, there's very little time for everyone. Now, this time around it's exacerbated by the fact that we have 80 minutes and it's not just a DC session but as I said a co-production with the mag. So that time slots for the speaking DCs by the sheer mathematics of it will get reduced even more. At the outset we have to reflect on how we can maximize the input of the DCs without trying to give each DC an equal time slot because that might not be possible. I mean, we could think of having one representative of one DC speaking for several ones or so. Again, this is not something we have to decide now. I was just going to highlight some of the very basic facts that in 80 minutes you only have room for a limited number of people who will take the floor. And also bearing in mind that we need to build in participation from -- I'm not saying audience participation because I never like to think of IGF participants as an audience. It's participants' participation. That we don't just talk and let them listen, but we really want to engage participants

in the session.

So these are the parameters but it will be worked out in future calls, in future email exchanges on the dedicated mailing list. At this stage, we're just trying to get the temperature of the DCs, whether you are comfortable with this approach as just outlined by Eleonora and as it's described in her paper which builds on already collaboration discussion with Timea and her colleagues.

Are there other comments? I also have we have call-in users 6 and 7. It would be helpful if you can identify yourselves. Call-in users, can you say who you are?

>> Timea I'm also on the phone as well.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: The other one may also be someone else who is listed. I think (Too Low to Hear) so to speak. Okay. Comments? Questions? Suggestions?

>> Hi, Markus, it's Eleonora. We've been able unmute the other call-in users. Maybe that's why we were --

>> That's very possible. Can you hear me now?

>> Yes.

>> Thank God. I hate WebEx. It is the most complicated thing to use. I have been playing with it the entire time. It is not simple. And what you have done is very good. Now I can say something. But I got the captioning for awhile. Then it disappears. We have to use a simpler system. And I really urge us to go back to some other form because this is impossible. And you couldn't get Gerry on.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Andrea, that is noted. I made these comments at the outset. I had the same problem finding the captioning. You'll find that right at the bottom if you press --

>> I've been chatting like mad. I've been able to work it out. I am computer literate, but I sure couldn't do it if I wasn't. I have sent you loads of little messages. Also loads to Luis.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: I cannot get the chat working for me. I'm obviously computer illiterate.

>> I'm just making a point that this is a bad system. You should be able to use a minimal amount of knowledge.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: I tried to use the chat option, but I cannot see whatever happens. Now I see it.

>> Markus, it's not your fault. You're computer literate enough.

(Laughter)

>> You are. I wasn't trying to --

(Overlapping Speakers)

>> We get rid of WebEx, please. I really want to make a very strong protest. When is the next mag meeting, because maybe I'll fly in just for that, just to say it.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: There is no more physical mag meeting. The next call is tomorrow.

>> All right. Give me the details. May I join and make my point or will you do it for me. Because I am on the war path with this. I would like to see WebEx to be dumped into the ocean. I've been saying

this for such a long time. And Luis, bless his heart, I sent him messages as well. He's trying to change and help things. And I've said this on the email which some of you were not on, but Vincent was on, you can't make a silk purse out of a sow's ear.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: I saw that, yes. We got your point and I think this is an area is also understood. I don't know whether Luis or Eleonora can update the call on where you are on exploring other possibilities.

>> Well, I don't want to take the time anymore from anyone else who has things to talk about with the dynamic coalition. I just want to say I am not at IGF this year. I have assigned Gerry Lewis. -- wouldn't that be nice if he could come back from the grave. I want to know if Gerry can -- he's blind and can't use any of this stuff. It's ridiculous.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Andrea, we know and I think the fact that Eleonora has tried very hard to get Gerry on the call and unable to do so is actually a very telling point. I think it's also ties up with what Timea had said that we should take the accessibility guidelines as a priority for this session.

(Overlapping Speakers)

>> Use something really simple and basic like go to meeting. It doesn't have a lot of this fancy stuff on it. Okay. If somebody -- I mean, go to meeting is really simple. It's not my favorite. It still has problems. You can't always display the captioning. One of the things I've learned to do is that you have been using stream text to transpose it into the main center but if you wanted to show a document, you couldn't do that. I end up having a couple of screens up on my little laptop and I flip backwards and forwards between them. One of them I use as the direct link to screen text. I have that for the captioning. I have the chat box and I have the main window which is often used for standardization documents. I'm able to function. For everybody else, I'm partially dyslexic and I'm going hard of hearing. I use everything I can. But there are people who are totally deaf who would not have been able to get on. There are no immediate instructions on how to use WebEx. Now maybe they ought to go by my book and do that. I'm really making a strong case that until they make it easy to use and it should be a kiss situation, keep is simple, stupid, so we can all use it by being able to turn it on and directly connect and get in either by using the chat box or being able to speak and you have to -- the other ones you can see if you are unmuted or not. You can see -- well, this one you can't see anything hardly. It looks like you've still got other people muted at the moment which they're probably dying to get me off and begin to say something themselves on what I've said. I've made my point. Thank you for listening. I will shut up now. I will mute myself on the phone. I have the phone on too. Both.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Well noted. I suggest why don't you join the call tomorrow. Nigel, you wanted to say something?

>> Can you get me the details of the call-in for that? Thank you, Markus.

>> This is Luis from the IGF Secretary of State yacht. I didn't expect to be in the call. Eleonora tried to help last minute. The most important thing I want to say is that for us, very important what Andrea is saying and we work for all these, not only listening but also doing. First I want to apologize for these difficulties. Without (Too Low to Hear) it's also difficult for us sometimes because there are many things together. Apparently WebEx has just changed their layout. For some of us it's been difficult for us to find. We tried to we have offered the best possibilities. Now it's possible to embed the transcriptions. We'll continue working on that for this to be a more accessible, but we initially had possibilities to show, for example, the live transcriptions and the presentations. This is the first time we're using it. So please have a bit of patience with us still if we can ask for it. It's important to know we listen to what you are saying and we're trying to 100% of what you are saying because you say that from experience. About Gerry joining the call, this was a last minute call, if I had more time with that, I would have help beforehand. The point is we will make it as -- as Andrea said we will make it easy. We will make it easy with just to click a link and the ability to join the call. We will do our best. If it will not be perfect, we will learn from mistakes. We will continue working. I'm very happy with working with taking it very seriously and with the team, very seriously all the issues that you're having. Just saying that. For all that is not working, I apologize. And next time it will work.

>> Luis you're a genius and it's not you. Like I said silk purse sow's ear. We have a problem. Cisco has donated the license of WebEx to IGF for free and that is why we are stuck with it. And I am stuck with that. It puts everybody in an odd position? Cisco could give us money for a start instead of giving us a crap conference tool. It's very pretty. I'm looking at the screen now I'm call-in number 6. I have my name somewhere. It's interesting. But it's really like very fancy and we don't want that. We want to be able to have something simple. I don't know what you can do with WebEx. It seems a wasted effort. We should go to the secretariat and say, this is not working. It's been not working for a long time. They've improved it to their eyes but not to ours. So it's not you Luis. I love you. You try. I know you do. We have conversations on email all the time. The simplest thing is to cut your losses. The nicest way to get Cisco to turn around and give money is to throw back their bogus donation. They really want to help us. I had knocked down drag out with Dr. Pepper about this. He looked at me as if I was crazy because he couldn't do anything. They really think it's good PR for them, good promotion, and that's why they do it. They're advertising their product. Well, I don't care. I don't want to use it because it's false advertising. I really want to make a very strong position that we need to get rid of this stuff and go back to something really useful.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Andrea.

>> One more thing and then I'll shut up again. If we can find out how much it would cost to use go to meeting or Adobe and I can

talk to the captioning people and they might have a few connections for us. That's caption first. Can we do that and see what we can find? I don't think anybody's done the reach. I've been telling you over and over again what we use. Nobody ever takes any notice. I'm talking about. I'm secrecy now.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you for that. I suggest make the comments at the mag tomorrow. Bring it to the attention of the mag chair. This is something just to say, here we have a very concrete case that the dynamic coalition and Gerry is unable to join the call. That is clearly dysfunctional. As you said, WebEx may look pretty but it's not particularly intuitive even for people without any disability. And the work is not up to us here to do it. It is essentially the secretariat that has to implement it. Bring it to the attention of the entire mag.

>> Give me the mag details to call in, please.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Eleonora said in the chat she will send it to you.

>> Send me an email that would be better. I'm not playing.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Nigel is waiting patiently.

>> I'll shut up. Thank you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: I've been able to activate through the chat. I see the comments. What I would describe overwhelming positive to the approach and to the paper Eleonora prepared. Nigel, you've been very patient.

>> Thank you very much, Markus. I know we have challenges on different systems. (Too Low to Hear) but on the substance, I know we haven't got long. I understand it and perhaps you can confirm the next steps. As I see it, we need to go to this sort of group that volunteered to shape this session further, taking advantage of the excellent work that has been done so far. I mean, I also shared your -- when you were talking about the logistics of the session, I already (Too Low to Hear) very well. Having 16 introductions in five minutes is not going to work very well. Perhaps we don't know whether we've got 16 dynamic coalitions that want to input somewhat feels they're better served through other methods. I think that's where we need to start discussing it in detail. Certainly I think for a number of the dynamic coalitions and including the Internet of things there is a real leakage of development, innovation, economic growth. I think we can really draw out some interesting points on this. So I'm very excited really. Yeah. Thanks.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you for that. I think Eleonora also hinted at that in her paper. But, again, we expect a paper from the dynamic coalitions who opt in to the session that they highlight a particular their contribution. Again, we can do a lot with a moderator who is well prepared. Last year we were very fortunate with Tatiana. She had -- past two years, she did an excellent job preparing herself in reading all the papers and guiding us through. The modalities we have to go along and just try to signal the fact that we may not be able to give much time to those dynamic coalition who wish to participate.

There will be obviously other speakers as well on this session.

And you're right. From now on we'll take the preparatory work, we report back tomorrow to the mag meeting and essentially Jutta and Timea will be driving the session in terms of substance and selling it to the mag and bringing in the mag into the session.

I wonder therefore whether both either Jutta or Timea would like at this stage to give your ideas. And also in particular also from Timea, I would be interested in learning how you see the partition of speakers, how many speakers you would see experts or representatives of the business community to make this session work just that we get a little bit of an idea and you already have a common understanding of how it could work.

>> JUTTA CROLL: Hello, Markus. With regard to the paper, I do think we could as a next step focus on the proposed policy questions. I do think Eleonora has as faced that very well. I would like to hear from the dynamic coalitions if they feel that there might be another question. It might be necessary to add another question or focus the questions a little bit more so I would be interested to hear from the dynamic coalitions whether these three proposed policy questions and I can read them out again, how can Internet and the ICTs be used to positively drive the SDGs? What are some of the challenges identified particularly in the context of rapid digital transformation for developing economies labor, or groups, et cetera? (Audio breaking up) and the enables policy environment for supporting inclusive society.

(Feedback).

>> JUTTA CROLL: From the DCs if there's anything said.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you. Timea, would you like --

>> Thank you, Markus. I think you're right in trying to scope out how the dynamic coalitions see if we've identified the right questions. I think that would be the first point I would ask colleagues to comment on and let us know if they have other ideas. And in terms of how the session goes, I think in the aim of this session and this is why it's a collaboration with the mag and any coalition is to build on the work that is being done in the DCs. So what I would like to know from you and see what colleagues is how can we instill the most pertinent issues to dynamic coalitions to feed the session, so to speak. In my mind we can see the mag is either really good first point. I can also see perhaps very short maybe help page.

(Feedback).

>> I hope that wasn't me. So maybe we can do a short introduction to share with the moderator and even share with interested participants before the session. I can also see perhaps a one pager compiled that the moderator or designated speaker can share with the audience and the expert speakers. I think it would be good to have maybe five panelists who have a broader view on these issues who are connected to several dynamic coalitions or several topic areas that the dynamic coalitions touch upon so they can reflect maybe in a one step removed way or a bird's eye view on the specific policy areas that the dynamic coalitions focus on and draw more general conclusions or ideas to draw the session toward discussing and enabling environment and then have

the main -- distill the main ideas from the session, so to speak, based on the practical and substance of experience of dynamic coalitions, the best practices and guidelines taken as a base, and to filter that into an expert level discussion that could help us distill a couple of conversations about how technology can facilitate the SDGs what are the challenges we face and what are some policy recommendations we can collectively as something to share with the wider audience with the IGF. That is in my mind how this could work and how this could be broad enough that everybody can meaningfully contribute and feel part of the session. This is just my ideas. Let me know how you feel about it.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you for that. Something to reflect on. Mariana said she had to leave to another meeting. I hope you're still with us.

>> Can you hear me?

>> MARKUS KUMMER: I can hear you.

>> Hi, everyone. Thanks for the work done so far. I was wondering if (Too Low to Hear) policy questions. I think that is -- how can Internet and ICT can be used specifically to drive the SDGs. I was wondering how the SDGs can train for the development of the (Too Low to Hear) we can use. (Audio breaking up) reframing these technologies in order to drive human-based needs. I think that would be a more calculated. Those who believe it is technology driving rather than them driving technology. That might be interesting. That's my first point. I can forward that to everyone. The second point is the format of the meeting. I can understand the structure in terms of time. I'm not sure (audio breaking up) it can be. I wonder if we can keep options option for wider participation as possible at least in the first part of the 80 minutes in so that every dynamic coalition can be heard to speak even if it's for 90 seconds before we focus on a very narrow format. (Audio breaking up) in the nature of dynamic coalitions we're trying to have participation. I know there's moderation. That's what I would like to say right now.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you, Mariana, what you suggested for the wording but the sound was not very good. I wonder if you can send it to Eleonora in writing or put it in the chat here. The second suggestion was well taken. The point you made is that maybe 60 or 90 seconds for each dynamic coalition would be better than nothing as we cannot give five minutes to each dynamic coalition. And actually my experience is I would once in a meeting where the question was -- it was a council of Europe ministerial. The question was either you won't get the floor or you can 30 seconds. I would rather say something in 30 seconds. It is amazing how it helps you focus your mind if you have to say something in a very short time than to really focus on the essence. We did something similar for those who were (Too Low to Hear) there were too many speakers. We can't give you two minutes anymore. We can give you one minute. They had senior government officials complying to that. They're really focused on a message to deliver in one minute and actually quite often it's more powerful if you have five minutes if you really focus on what you're trying to

say. This is obviously something we can discuss and revisit as we go along in the preparation. Yes, to mayorian in the chat rephrasing the first policy question. All comments obviously in writing in any case are welcome also after the call.

Are there other comments, questions? We don't have to drag out the meeting until we are filled our space.

>> Markus, this is Timea. I crunched the numbers very quickly here. Sorry, go ahead.

>> Can you hear me? Okay. So this is Esmeralda. I just have a question regarding the size panelist and how will they be selected. Because I was thinking given the short time that the dynamic coalition will have and I'm speaking on behalf of the public access and libraries, we could maybe, once we know who the panelists are, maybe an option could be to work with them and somehow -- so that they could also go through our papers according to their expertise and area of interest and sort of represent or talk also additionally about the coalition work related to their experiencing -- their particular experience. I don't know if this was discussed elsewhere. Thank you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you for that. I think you're attuned to Timea's suggestion. That will be the idea of more high level speakers but to take in the input coming in from the dynamic coalitions and then maybe speaking on behalf of not just one but reflecting on the work done by one of several of them where mayorian's approach was, let's be as inclusive as possible and then give them at least a very short speaking slot. We don't have to decide yet on that. These are approaches that are slightly different but I don't think they're mutually exclusive. I think you can -- you could imagine the dynamic coalitions will sit as participants in the room and the moderator will recognize them and will bring them in in a prearranged scenario with the flow of the discussion. But you would have some more expert panelists who are given more time to speak to reflect on all this. But Timea wanted to come in and you said you had done the numbers crunching.

>> This is on the back of an envelope calculation here. It looks like 80 minutes in total and we slot off 10 minutes in the beginning and 10 at the end for maybe closing and just general welcome and closing messages, then we're left with 55 minutes all together. If we reserve a half an hour for that in the beginning after the introduction of the moderator maybe the first half an hour on audience participation or participants' participation as you mutt it Markus, if we can have that even that the 16 dynamic coalitions we can think about at least 110 seconds each but limit that to 90 seconds as it was suggested earlier we can still have some impromptu messages from other participants. I like the idea that you proposed, Markus, to have perhaps the moderator have a preordered way to call on some of the dynamic coalitions and have that pointed out to her or him before. And I also liked the suggestion from marrian to familiarize the expert levels or high level speakers with the work of the dynamic coalitions ahead. I think that's a really good point. I'm not sure if we can expect all of them to read all the material that is there. So maybe we would want to try

and work on having maybe a one pager from each or a shorter introduction with just the top line messages with their work so we don't overwhelm our speakers with material either. I think that's a good point to take on board.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you for that. All good comments. I think it's already in Eleonora's paper to have a one pager. There's a lot of material the dynamic coalitions produce. But you're right. You cannot expect if you have expert speakers with very high level to wade through all that material. The shorter and snappier the papers are the better it will be for that session. I think we have a move to a very good shared understanding of how this could evolve. I think both Jutta and Timea are well equipped to present at tomorrow's mag meeting. Just one last comment from either of them, Jutta or Timea? You don't have to as we already slightly over the hour.

>> No I don't think there was anything to add, Markus. Thanks again for asking.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: You're most welcome to add something. Timea has just spoken. I presume she would be okay to not say anything at this stage.

>> I am.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you for that. With that then, I thank you all for participating. And I think it was an excellent call as we move to a common shared understanding of how we can organize this session. Thank you all, and let's move this discussion to the new list the secretariat has created for this purpose. Thanks and good-bye.

>> Thanks. Bye-bye.

>> Bye, everyone. Thank you.