

RAW FILE

IGFSA
JANUARY 18, 2019
7:00 A.M. CT
DC COORDINATION MEETING XXXIII

Services provided by:
Caption First, Inc.
P.O. Box 3066
Monument, CO 80132
800-825-5234
www.captionfirst.com

This text, document, or file is based on live transcription. Communication Access Realtime Translation 6(CART), captioning, and/or live transcription are provided in order to facilitate communication accessibility and may not be a totally verbatim record of the proceedings. This text, document, or file is not to be distributed or used in any way that may violate copyright law.

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: Hi, everyone. It's Eleonora from the IGF Secretariat. Thank you for joining the call. We're just going to give everyone a couple of more minutes to filter in, and especially our host, Markus, who is not yet connected, so thanks for bearing with us. We'll get started in a couple of minutes. >> hello. Is it silent on the radio or do you --

>> Hello. Is it silent on the radio or do you hear me?

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: Hi, Maarten. The it's Eleonora, we can hear you. We're just waiting for Markus to join us.

>> MAARTEN BOTTERMAN: You should play some music.

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: Sorry about the wait. I know we're usually much more prompt.

>> MAARTEN BOTTERMAN: Should we sing?

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: I'm tone deaf, so I'm not going to sing.

>> MAARTEN BOTTERMAN: Or we could hum.

>> Or whistle. Whistling is better.

>> MAARTEN BOTTERMAN: If you you'll whistle, I'll hum.

(Humming)

>> (Whistling)

>> You're challenging the captioning here. Don't forget that.

>> MAARTEN BOTTERMAN: I --

>> Can you also hear me? Hello.

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: Hi, Jutta. I can hear you. It's Eleonora.

>> JUTTA CROLL: So the microphone is working now. Thanks.
>> Hello. Can everyone hear me?
>> MAARTEN BOTTERMAN: I can hear you.
>> Great. I figured since we have some time, I might as well introduce myself because I'm kind of new here. My name is Baldeep Grewal, and I'm representing the Gender DC today.
>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: Hi, Baldeep. It's Eleonora.
>> BALDEEP KAUR GREWAL: Hi. It's nice to talk to you.
>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: Yes, we've emailed. Thanks for joining. They said you'd be representing the Gender DC today.
>> BALDEEP KAUR GREWAL: Great. I'm going to meet now -- sorry, mute now.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Hello. This is Markus. My apologies for being late with connecting, I had some problems, but let's get started. It's great to have you all on the call. My comoderator and cofacilitator, Jutta, is also on the call, and as it's the first call of the year and we have some -- also some new DCs and new members here on the call, I would like to suggest starting with a brief introduction, but really brief, and especially the existing Dynamic Coalitions, just say which coalition you represent, but there are also representatives from newly formed Dynamic Coalition, and if you're a new Dynamic Coalition, please give a brief intro in what your DC is standing for and what you're trying to achieve.

And we have one ongoing issue, and Andrea Saks from the DCAD has always had a strong stand on remote participation and would like to say, I think, a few words on these ongoing issues, and the Secretariat may give an update of where we stand, but with that, I have already said quite a bit. I would like to hand over the floor to the participants. And how do we manage that? Do we take it -- well, why don't we take it in alphabetical order, and I see on my list Andrea Saks is the first speaker, and as I already said, you have one particular issue. Why don't we start with you, Andrea, please. You have the floor.

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: Hi, Andrea. It's Eleonora from the Secretariat. Can you hear us?

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Andrea may have some connection problems. Let's leave that slot open when she's ready. Andrea, when you're ready, please say and you can jump in with that, and can we go to the next on the list of participants. That would be Avri Doria. Avri.

>> AVRI DORIA: Sure. This is Avri Doria, not new to the group, but the -- the DC that I'm supporting this year in the Secretariat role is the Schools on Internet Governance, and it's also not new, it's in its second year, but it's basically trying to work towards coordinating some of the efforts that are going on as we have schools on Internet Governance blossoming all over the place. Thanks.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you. And, of course, I should have mentioned that you have been the cofacilitator of the Dynamic Coalitions for a number of years until you quit the MAG. Thanks, Avri.

Next one on the list is Baldeep Kaur Grewal, and please correct me if I've mispronounced your name. Please, Baldeep.

>> BALDEEP KAUR GREWAL: Hi. No, your pronunciation is quite decent. Thank you.

Like I said before, I'm new to the IGF space, and I'm joining the Gender DC and representing them today as a new moderator and coordinator, along with Smita and Bishakha from Point of View. Nice to meet all of you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you. Could you please say how you pronounce your name correctly?

>> BALDEEP KAUR GREWAL: Baldeep Kaur Grewal.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Okay. Thank you. We have a call-in user whose name is not disclosed. Whoever you are, could you introduce yourself?

Well, whoever it is is maybe not in a position to speak. That can happen sometimes if you're in a noisy environment.

Then we go to the next, Jutta, my cofacilitator, but can you also introduce yourself briefly and explain which Dynamic Coalition you represent.

>> JUTTA CROLL: Yes, Markus. Thank you for giving me the floor. My name is Jutta Croll from the Digital Opportunities Foundation. I am not representing the Dynamic Coalition on Child Online Safety, that is done by Marie-Laure Lemineur, which I also see on the call, but I think I'm a founding -- or our organization is a founding member of the Dynamic Coalition on Child Online Safety, and I'm also working in close corroboration with the Dynamic Coalition on Access in Libraries and the Dynamic Coalition on Internet of Things. Thank you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Well. Thank you. And the next two names on the list, is Kristine, who's the transcriber, who's making sure that we can read what we say on the separate window.

And Luis is from the Secretariat, who makes sure everything functions.

So we reach Maarten Botterman.

>> MAARTEN BOTTERMAN: Good afternoon, Markus and all. Maarten Botterman, the Dynamic Coalition for Internet of Things, and I'm also a member but not involved in the Dynamic Coalition on DNS issues.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: And that is a new Dynamic Coalition, correct.

>> MAARTEN BOTTERMAN: Yeah.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: And we have a chat message from Andrea Saks that she can't hear anything. Luis, can I ask you to get in touch with her and see if we can try that out and see if we request bring her online?

>> LUIS BOBO: Hi, Markus. This is Luis. I will try to take care of that.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: And then is Marie-Laure, please.

>> MARIE-LAURE LEMINEUR: My name is Marie-Laure Lemineur. Our organization represents more than 40 child rights organizations. Thank you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you. That will leave us with the

call-in user, and could you please, in one way or another, identify yourself and maybe also send an email to the Secretariat if you cannot talk. That would be helpful so that we know who you are. And if you can talk, please introduce yourself and say which Dynamic Coalitions you represent.

And we'll leave the slot open for Andrea, as we know, she's trying to be on the call, but she can't hear anything, and I take it that Luis is trying to sort it out in the background and with that, can we go with the substantive agenda?

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: Maarten, sorry, it's Eleonora. Actually, we have quite a few participants still on the list who we could give the floor to. I think next would be Maureen.

>> MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Eleonora. My name is Maureen Hilyard, and I live on a small island in the Pacific called the Cook Islands. I represent the Dynamic Coalition on the small developing states and Internet economy and DC is looking at Internet-related issues that impact on small island communities in the Pacific and the Caribbean mainly. Thank you very much.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you. And obviously, I don't have the full view of the list, so can I leave it to you, Eleonora, to introduce the people who are still in the waiting cue?

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: Sure. So after Maureen, we have Melinda Clem?

>> MELINDA CLEM: This is Melinda. I'm representing the Dynamic Coalition on DNS Issues.

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: I'm so sorry, Melinda. I personally am having a bit of trouble hearing you. I think maybe others --

>> MELINDA CLEM: Is that any better?

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: Not quite.

>> LUIS BOBO: This is Luis. I think Andrea is now connected, so you may want to talk if you can hear us. Otherwise, please, Eleonora, mute your -- if I -- she doesn't realize that she's connected.

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: Okay. Luis, Andrea is unmuted, but we are trying to bring in Melinda. We're just having a bit of trouble hearing her. I'm sorry.

>> ANDREA SAKS: I don't know if you can hear me.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Yes, we can hear you. Yes, please go ahead.

>> ANDREA SAKS: Ah, you can hear me. Okay.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: You could not hear --

>> ANDREA SAKS: I am now a deaf person.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: When I introduced the call, I said you would have -- in particular, you have been a valiant fighter for making sure that remote participation worked and you have a few issues to say and a few points to make, so please go ahead.

>> ANDREA SAKS: Thank you, Markus. I think this proves my point. WebEx is not reliable. Blind people have many issues in using their screen readers to navigate and use it, and have been objecting, I think, for two years. I cannot hear anyone at all. I have -- I've been doing calls remotely for I think at least ten years,

and there's nothing I can do to get the sound on, yet I was on Skype earlier, and my mic worked fine and my speakers worked fine, so I really haven't got a clue, but I would really recommend we move over to Zoom, and one way we can test it is to use it on our DC calls. Instead of using WebEx, as we are now, let's try Zoom. We are not making the whole of the IGF use it, but now we have one report from me that I can't use it because I can't hear --

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you.

>> ANDREA SAKS: -- anything that is said.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you for that. I don't know whether -- Luis, I know he has been behind the scenes trying to look for alternatives -- whether you can briefly update us on where we where we are.

>> LUIS BOBO: Yes, thank you, Markus. I spoke with Andrea --

>> ANDREA SAKS: I have a question, Markus.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Yes, please.

>> ANDREA SAKS: What do you mean where we are regarding what?

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Well, we did say, as I recall it, before the Paris meeting, that the Secretariat should look for alternatives. Then I think there was -- the UN in New York said let's not make any experiments ahead of the annual meeting, that the annual meeting is over, and maybe Luis can inform us. And they did say they were looking for alternatives where we are moving the concrete proposal to use Zoom for the DC calls as a kind of trial, but I'd ask Luis whether he could inform us of what has happened since.

>> LUIS BOBO: Yes. Hi, Markus. If I can speak about that, I think Andrea can read the text from the transcription. I may ask Eleonora to please mute one moment Andrea for -- to -- thank you.

Okay. So I just spoke with Andrea a bit earlier. I tried to talk with Gerry because we know historically that he usually connects to our meetings via phone call. As you know, Gerry's the person, and he had some problems in trying to connect to WebEx before because he had to make a call, so we prepared a system that was used successfully at IGF at 2018 using WebEx in some of the sessions and blind people accessing with their mobile directly in WebEx. And also, we worked in the presentation of the transcription as you can check on the screen in the separate window in WebEx, as it is done, for example. To let you know, in the bottom right window, it is possible to navigate the transcription, so you can go to the right and select the scrolling, and can you navigate the same way that you do in the separate window, in a separate process if you open the transcription.

With that said, these were advances that we were trying to put, in the meantime that we explore other possibilities instead of WebEx, as we said. We are not sure that we really are going to change or the decision is going to be done to other platforms or to keep on WebEx. That could be done after an assessment of all the aspects, so -- as also discussed, for example, with Gerry, so we will take into consideration accessibility and technical -- or technical possibilities, like the number of participants that we need, also

the resources needed, but, of course, accessibility is needed, so this is the point that if, for example, we -- while we were using WebEx -- while we are going to use WebEx, we need to cover all the problems and aspects that persons with disabilities face.

So this is why I told before about the no need to call for blind people or the possibility of navigating the transcription.

Now, in the -- in the conversation with other platforms, we have, indeed, talked with both zoom.us and with Adobe, so we have some quotation for Adobe. As you know, the Secretariat is granted with WebEx, which is an important aspect as well, and Adobe's not able to make that grant; however, they are able to make some discounts, and we may think about possible donations, but the point is that we need to still clarify with them what is the model, the technical model that could be more useful in order to have the best -- the things that we need, for example, the number of participants that we need because sometimes we have more than 100, and other aspect is the resources and how to manage it, because possibly we would need to have an on-premise solution, and that would increase the cost, and in that case, we could try to go for Adobe.

This is still not clear, so I'm still in communications with the agents of Adobe, and we will also try to have some trial, in any case.

With zoom.us, the things are a little bit more delayed, unfortunately, but we're in conversations and have to have a test with them before the trial. The point with them is they don't seem to be very collaborative with the UN at this moment. I don't know if with ITU it has been -- there have been more advances. Maybe I can check that later, but still we need to make some tests and some -- and prepare -- and try to prepare some trial with them, and maybe we use it here in one of the IGF meetings. I have tested it with Andrea, for example, in some meetings, and I could say that not the others are especially bad, they can be improved, but the point is that zoom.us is quite strong in accessibility, that's a real point; however, it's weak in other aspects, like the management of the parallel rooms and the number of participants, and it's quite a little bit more, I could say, unflexible than Adobe or Cisco.

We will study all these things, and I will keep you informed about all this selection of the school and for the best thing in the usage for everyone, persons with disabilities, and any other person access, and other access with people with multilingual and other things for accessibility for our meetings. I --

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Luis, can we leave it at that? I think that was a very extensive briefing.

>> LUIS BOBO: Okay.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: And I would maybe like to leave it at that and also see whether we can take it offline, but Andrea made a very concrete suggestion. Why don't we have a trial run at one of the next calls of the DCs with Zoom and also, we have this special fund for accessibility which was given to IGFSa by Google, and we use that for financing the transcription. We could also use that fund with

the costs involved to pay for Adobe on the trial run. We offered that in Paris, but we understand there was not time for experiments, but we could use it now and see whether we can move that forward. But can we leave it at that for the time being and maybe take it offline and make sure -- Andrea says no, you would like to come back.

>> LUIS BOBO: Yes, please unmute her, Eleonora, if she's not able to. Andrea, you're unmuted.

>> ANDREA SAKS: (Off microphone). I don't actually (Off microphone)

>> MARKUS KUMMER: I think --

>> LUIS BOBO: Andrea is very faint.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: I can't hear her.

>> ANDREA SAKS: Can you hear me now?

>> MARKUS KUMMER: We can hear you.

>> ANDREA SAKS: Yes, now you can. I've just done a couple of experiments. I plugged in a different set of headphones, I took out headphones. I am now working without headphones, and you can hear me, but I can't hear you without headphones.

Now, this might be my computer, but that doesn't matter because this has to work with anybody's computer, and I just want to point that out. The other thing is why don't we use Zoom for a DC call just to see the difference and the ease of connectivity, and that way Gerry and Shabir can join us without difficulty if we make them aware that we are going to use Zoom because they are familiar. So I think we should do our own test. This is what I want to say, and that is the -- and then, yes, then we can take it offline, Markus. Thank you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you, and with that, can we go back to the list of the DCs? There was also in the chat some explanation on the DNS DC. I don't know whether you want to come back. We had problems with hearing in -- Eleonora, can you go back to the list.

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: Hi, Markus. Sure. So we left off with Melinda. I think she might be trying to speak again now.

>> MELINDA CLEM: Is this better?

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: Yes, much better. We can hear you.

>> MELINDA CLEM: Perfect. I did put a description in the chat, the DC for DNS Issues looks to pick up work to complement the IGF and ICANN and help the adoption of some of the group technologies that they're working on. The first thing that we're -- the first issue that we are tackling is universal acceptance. Thank you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you for that. The who next.

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: We have Michael next.

>> MICHAEL OGHIA: Hi, everyone. I've long been involved with the DCs here. I'm actually here not representing anyone in particular, though I am involved with the Dynamic Coalition on Community Connectivity and the YCIG, the Youth Coalition on Internet Governance; however, just very quick, the reason why I'm here is because starting this year, we -- the coalition of individuals involved and individual organizations involved with media development would really like to create or start a new Dynamic

Coalition and media and other (Off microphone) of support, et cetera, related issues, more information especially about what it will be called, and we have a -- the specific scope will be made available later, but I just wanted to go ahead and tease it a bit that this is something that we're actively working on, and when I say "we," members for Global Forum for Media Development, the organization I work with now, as well as many of our members and partners. Thank you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you. Are there more people on the list?

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: Sure. So next we have Minda. The

>> MINDA MOREIRA: Hello, everyone. I'm Minda from the Internet rights and principles coalition, a coalition focused on the Internet and work for human rights, and I don't know if there's anyone else from my coalition, but I'm actually the co-chair now and share -- co-chairing with Marianne Franklin that was supposed to join the meeting too. Thank you.

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: Thank you, Minda. We have Nik on the list next, but he does not seem to be connected to audio, so we can move on to Peter.

>> PETER KOCH: Yes. Hi. My name is Peter Koch. I am involved with the DC on Internet of Things, acting as the backup for Maarten.

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: Thank you, Peter. Next is

>> SIVASUBRAMANIAN MUTHUSAMY: My name is Sivasubramanian. I'm on the DC on Core Internet Values. Thank you. The

>> NIK SMITH: Hi, Eleonora, can you hear me? This is Nik Smith.

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: Hi, Nik. We can hear you now.

>> NIK SMITH: Excellent. Good afternoon, everyone. I'm sitting here with my colleague, esteemed colleague, Denis Tan. You already heard from Melinda, so I won't say much, but we're also representing the DC on DNS Issues. Thank you very much.

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: Thank you, Nik. Markus, that's everyone in our list.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Okay. If I can repeat for a moment, the caption did not capture the name of the DC on Sivasubramanian from the DC on Core Internet Values. Thank you.

Thank you. With that, we go to the substantive agenda, and Jutta, can you please introduce the Agenda Item 1 Reflecting on DC participation at the IGF 2018.

>> JUTTA CROLL: Of course. Thank you, Markus, for giving me the floor. So I would like to report back from the reflection on the DC participation at IGF 2018, and I just had looked it up that 15 Dynamic Coalitions had their own sessions during IGF 2018 in Paris, and then we also had a thematic main session where 11 out of the Dynamic Coalitions took part, and there -- after this session or at the end of the IGF 2018, we also did stocktaking where not all but many of the Dynamic Coalition representatives took part.

So I need to tell you that for this -- for last year's IGF, the thematic main session that the Dynamic Coalitions were involved in was kind of a pilot. For the first time we tried to have it as a thematic main session, and it was under the title "Development

innovation and economic issues" with a focus on the SDGs. Thanks to the grid that Eleonora had involved in advance, all the Dynamic Coalitions had the opportunity in advance to link their own activities to the Sustainable Development Goals, and what we did during the session was kind of reflecting on how the work of the Dynamic Coalitions contributes to Sustainable Development Goals.

When, afterwards, we did the stocktaking, everybody had the feeling that we had a very successful session. We had a lot more participants in this session than we had the year before when it was not a thematic main session but a Dynamic Coalition Joint Session, so more people attended this session, and we also assumed that this was -- one reason for this was that we had very good involvement of the business stakeholder group and that we also had a very good cooperation during the session but also in advance when preparing that with the business sector, so that was kind of seen as a success factor to the whole session.

Nonetheless, most of the Dynamic Coalition representatives thought it was difficult that we only had 80 minutes, which was kind of due to the rule restrictions and also restrictions by the interpreters in Paris, but all Dynamic Coalitions agreed that it would be very helpful to have more time, a longer session at IGF 2019, especially to give all the Dynamic Coalitions that engage in such a joint thematic main session enough room to bring forward the work they are doing during the course of the year between the IGFs.

Yes, I think that's -- so far as I remember -- maybe, Eleonora or Markus, you could add something if I'm not comprehensive enough. Thank you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you, Jutta. That was a very good summary. Maybe one point -- that leads us also to the next agenda item, Setting Priorities for Joint DC Work in 2019, but the two are closely linked in any case, but when we had the stocktaking, one suggestion was to work on a common theme, and that was obviously an open issue. There was not immediate agreement. This is something we may reflect on further as we go along, but with that, I open the floor. Are there comments?

And Eleonora, help me if you see hands up, which I can't see.

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: Sure, Markus. So we have no hands from the floor right now.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: So can I take it that Jutta's summary was so comprehensive that nobody would like to add anything? I think the general feeling was, indeed, as Jutta said, it was a good session we had, but maybe for this year the Dynamic Coalitions would prefer going back to a concept that they have their own session, and that, again, links us immediately to the agenda item 2, Setting Priorities for Joint DC Work in 2019, and can I ask, then, whether there are comments on that, how they would see -- I mean, also looking back, I think, we have to recognize that we have come a long way in improving the cooperation among and between the Dynamic Coalitions, which have, obviously, a very wide range of activities to cover, and from a substantive point of view maybe not that much uncommon, but certainly

we agreed on comprehensive principles, and that gives much more cohesion, I think of the overall picture of the Dynamic Coalitions, but how do you see what our priorities should be for this coming year?

>> MICHAEL OGHIA: Markus.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Yes, please.

>> MICHAEL OGHIA: Michael here. I want to convey a comment that was made by one of our member -- our members who was at the IGF this year. His name is Mark Nelson from CIMA, from the Center of International Media Assistance, and he attended the IGF in Geneva and he attended the IGF in Paris, and he told me explicitly he was a bit disappointed this year that there wasn't the same kind of meeting, DC kind of meeting that was in Switzerland where, you know, he was able, as a newcomer to kind of understand the work that each Dynamic Coalition was doing as opposed to, you know, one -- one kind of Plenary session, so having said that -- and that's just as some of his feedback, I was not actually able to attend the DC session this year, so I was not able to evaluate it. I did give -- I did give some feedback and comments about it, but -- you know, so I just want to say that, I guess, for some parts of the community -- well, I mentioned that just to really stress that I don't -- I don't know kind of so much about the outcome and how -- what people thought about it and that sort of thing. I do see value in that, but I did at least want to also share this other perspective.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Well, thank you. I think that is definitely one of the points of reference. The session we had in Geneva the year before, I think it went extremely well, but it gave -- it was based on the input each Dynamic Coalition gave, and it was focused on their work. In Paris we had a focus on a common theme, and it was not just the Dynamic Coalitions, but it was a coproduction with the business community, and as far as sessions go, I think we can say it was a very good session, but it obviously gave much less room to the Dynamic Coalitions, and I get the point for a new comrade it was difficult to see what the Dynamic Coalitions have been working on during the year, and I think this is the two poles within which we are moving. Do we want to go again for a common theme where all Dynamic Coalitions work together, and one suggestion was to have a common paper even, but when preparing the -- last year's meeting, the Dynamic Coalitions agreed to go on a common theme, and it was clear in the discussions that that would be of sufficient high level to provide a common roof to all Dynamic Coalitions, and in the end, there was a compromise given due to the fact that the duration of the meeting was reduced from four to three days and there was just not enough space, and the Dynamic Coalitions were, in a way, forced into this Joint Session with the business community, which they had suggested similar themes, so it was also logical for a merger, but it took away a lot of the flavor the Dynamic Coalitions have, so that's where we are, so what Michael said was based on this feedback was one option, that we go back to the concept of each Dynamic Coalition providing input on their work.

That would be one option. And the other option would then be to work

towards a common theme and maybe even based on a common paper where all Dynamic Coalitions provide input. So please.

>> JUTTA CROLL: Markus.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Yes.

>> JUTTA CROLL: Markus, it's Jutta speaking. If I may step in here.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Of course.

>> JUTTA CROLL: I don't think these two concepts are in contradiction. I really do think that the session benefited from having at least direct file, and these were the SDGs, and trying to find out what the Dynamic Coalitions have in common, I do think that was a very good exercise in advance to the session, so even though I do think it would be better to have more room for the Dynamic Coalitions to better represent them and to make them more -- better known, especially to newcomers, I do think this could also be done in a session where we agree on a common theme or at least give the session this thing that people can follow on it. It should just not be a series of Dynamic Coalitions representing them as a single thing because we all belong -- do belong to the IGF community. We have something in common, and I really do think it was useful to work that out in a certain way. Thank you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Yes, thank you, for these comments. It's very helpful. Yes, there is always a middle way, but what we had was a -- in Geneva, I think it worked extremely well because we were benefited from a very dynamic well-prepared moderator who acted, as we said, then as a (Speaking non-English language), but we also -- I mean, she herself, Tatiana, said maybe she would not like to do it another time. And to find somebody else who does it exactly the same way does not necessarily work, so, obviously, we have to move on, and as Jutta said, this is, I think -- at least what I felt was a little bit the general feeling in Paris. Oh, Maarten, yes, you had your hand raised.

>> MAARTEN BOTTERMAN: Yes, Markus. Thanks. I don't know if this is two or one, I think it's the next logical thing, but I thought it worked extremely well to have this joint message, and maybe if we can work -- what we can work on is a joint document where people in one go can read all about the DCs, what our objectives are, what our main ways of working are, so people who are not aware of DCs but are interested can quickly look back at that. Maybe we don't need to have a session at that -- maybe that's worth such an information paper, and to have a session again on a common theme, I think that would be more interesting.

So it's a shame for those who have not been at the Dynamic Coalition before, but they may be able to make that choice if there's a good document informing them beforehand, before the IGF takes place.

Now, on the common theme, the set of priorities for joint DC work, I found that a lot of work in Internet Governance this year or last year had been on security, security aspects, how to make sure that things are happening as they're supposed to happen. I think

we're slowly modification towards the time where emphasis may become more on accountability. I think security's still important, but I think if we want to move with what it's always about, it may make sense if the priority for joint work would be on something to do with accountability, who is to take responsibility, who is to step up, and what is that group or person accountable for, so that's my fault.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you for that. Other comments?

>> SIVASUBRAMANIAN MUTHUSAMY: Markus, if I can say something?

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Yes, please.

>> SIVASUBRAMANIAN MUTHUSAMY: This is Sivasubramanian. If we can have some common is space where we reach out to IGF participants and outside IGF, in general on the work of Dynamic Coalitions, either to seek out participants or help the work of the Dynamic Coalitions, it would be helpful, and for that purpose, if the -- the Dynamic Coalitions, the coordination group. If the coordination group can have access to staff support or ongoing access to staff support, that would also be helpful.

Third, there is something common between all Dynamic Coalitions. Whatever work we do every year relates to Internet development, so it is what is happening to the Internet and what are the issues and how are the issues being tackled and what are the proposals? All this can come from all the Dynamic Coalitions -- from the work of all the Dynamic Coalitions, which could be a point -- which could be a common theme on which all Dynamic Coalitions could agree, so that would be the topic on which we could communicate every year. Thank you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you. Yes. I mean, we're already moving to potential common themes, and Maarten mentioned accountability as a potential theme, which is, obviously, an issue where each -- I think each DC could say something about and rally behind, but my feeling is that I think maybe Jutta's summary is something Dynamic Coalitions can sort of rally behind; that is, it's not an either/or but have a common session and find something with a common theme, and that is what both Maarten and Sivas also suggested, and that brings me to the last item on the agenda, which is we have the MAG meeting and the Open Consultation in ten days -- ten days, I think, roughly. It starts Monday week. And how do we present ourselves, and there again, we have new MAG members who are maybe not that familiar. We did present the Dynamic Coalitions at the MAG call, so they know you exist, but it's also interesting for you, maybe, to bring in new MAG members into your work.

And I think one issue that came up, whether there should be a common sort of introductory session, a lunchtime session. I wonder whether Eleonora can maybe take that on, as there has been requests by one Dynamic Coalition to have such a session, and in the past we had joint sessions, lunchtime sessions, so maybe that is an issue we would like to discuss. Eleonora, could you maybe make a brief introduction?

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: Yes. Hi, Markus. Thank you. As you said, we do already have the new DC on DNS Issues scheduled to

introduce their coalition to the MAG, but we thought that this might be an opportunity, given that, you know, we'll have the space during -- during this lunchtime to -- maybe either before the -- the DNS DC's presentation or maybe afterwards, depending on where exactly they'll be scheduled, to have a more general DC Coordination face-to-face meeting where we discuss issues that are common to all DCs, but I do think that that would be contingent on there being a critical mass of DCs physically present at the MAG meeting, and at the moment, we don't really know how many -- how many DC members will be there and whether -- and whether there is enough interest from the DCs community in having this, so we are posing this question to you on the call.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: I see in the chat, Sivas also asked whether there's a link to join the DC on DNS Issues? In essence, all DCs are open to anyone who wants to join, so you should find it on the website, and if not, then maybe there's room for improvement, but all DCs should make it easy for interested potential members to join.

But back to Eleonora's question, is there appetite for such a session? It also may be with who is represented.

>> JUTTA CROLL: Markus, may I?

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Yes, of course.

>> BALDEEP KAUR GREWAL: I think it would be great to have a preliminary introductory meeting, not just for general facilitating purposes, so my first time at the IGF was last year in Paris, and I'm autistic, and it was very difficult for me to meet new people in every session that I go to, and it took some time for me to get used to these people that I was meeting at every session, more or less, because we have similar interests, but I feel like that sort of anxiety that clouded my reception of the first day and a half of the IGF. Like, these things can be avoided if we could just kind of have this meeting in the very beginning or, like, on the first day that orients people to who they'll be talking to and who they'll be kind of working along, sharing ideas with over the next few days, but that's my two cents. I feel like it would not just facilitate things for, you know, everyone who's involved but for me personally as an autistic person joining such a large social group, it would make things a lot more easier for me. Thank you.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Thank you, and just an administrative comment. It wasn't Jutta speaking to the scribe, it was Baldeep speaking.

So there would be interest in having a kind of session, I take it. Can we --

>> JUTTA CROLL: Maarten, maybe we can ask this again on the mailing list for the Dynamic Coalitions, because some might need time to consider whether they will be able during the time the face-to-face meeting takes place, even to be in Geneva or to take part remotely.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Right. Exactly. That's an excellent suggestion. I was going to come up to the same conclusion. Let's take this off list and take it on the mailing list. We have still some time to see whether we want to have such a session, and you would be in charge of moderating the session, Jutta, as I may not be able

to attend in person.

>> JUTTA CROLL: We are welcome to do that. Thanks.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Excellent. Okay.

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: Markus, sorry for jumping in. I didn't want a comment in the chat to be missed. Melinda, from the new DC on DNS Issues said they would -- they can explain why it is that they requested to -- to give a presentation during the MAG meeting, so they may want to come in and just say a few words.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Yes, of course. I mean, that's an excellent opportunity also to the DCs to present themselves.

>> MELINDA CLEM: Thanks, Eleonora. So with the -- there was a couple of reasons that we wanted to take advantage of having everyone together at the MAG meeting. First is that we are a new coalition, and, you know, having the opportunity to present ourselves in a phase to face forum is always better than online.

And secondly, because there is such a tight connection with the work that we are doing this year and governments specifically, that we wanted to give a heads-up on some future communications that will be coming from us. You know, so the -- our agenda is essentially to explain what we are, talk about some of these acronyms and phrases I'm using. Some people don't know what "universal acceptance" means. Our charter this year is focusing on governments specifically, and we're going to be doing a comprehensive survey, and we're going to want government participants, so we want to be able to give the MAG members and other participants the opportunity to know that this is coming, and that will be reaching out because, you know, our success is really based on raising awareness and getting a lot of participation, so that was the genesis of our specific request.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: That's perfectly legitimate, of course.

So can we -- well, we're almost reaching the top of the hour. I would then suggest the DNS Dynamic Coalition that -- in one way or another you will be given this opportunity, and the open question is whether there should be a Joint Session for the DCs, but that is -- will be followed up on the overall list of the DCs to see whether there is a desire to have such a session.

And is there anything under Any Other Business? It's also -- obviously, we have to report back to the MAG, and there I think we do have a -- a good common position on taking stock of where we were in Paris and how we see the presence of the Dynamic Coalitions for the IGF 2019.

Is there anything under Any Other Business we ought to address?

There were some comments in the chat on the accessibility issue that we should not spend too much time on that, but this has been an issue which is actually very much at the core of the Dynamic Coalition on Accessibility, the remote participation, so this is not just procedural issues in that sense or a process issue, but it is a core issue for one of the Dynamic Coalitions, and I urge the other colleagues to bear with us if we devote some time to this particular issue, but it is important for the overall IGF community that remote

participation working and works well and is accessible for people with accessibility issues, so we have to really work on that and work is going on, and, yes, we should not devote too much time on the issue on the calls, but we have to recognize that it is an issue which is at the core of one of the Dynamic Coalitions.

Are there any other comments? May I ask my cofacilitator, Jutta, do you have any concluding comments?

>> JUTTA CROLL: Thank you, Markus. You did an excellent job in moderating. Thank you. Thanks to all who have joined us.

>> ELEONORA MAZZUCCHI: Thank you, Jutta. Sorry, it's Eleonora. I wanted to say one last thing, which is to acknowledge that we did have a new MAG member on the call listening in, Afi from Togo, and we didn't have the chance to introduce her at the beginning, so thank you, Afi, for listening.

>> MARKUS KUMMER: Oh, yes, welcome, Afi, and thank you.

Are there any other final comments? It doesn't seem to be the case, and we have to sort out whether or not we have a joint DC lunchtime session at the MAG meeting Open Consultations. And with that, I thank you all for participating in this call, and see you online at the next call and maybe at the MAG meeting Open Consultation. Thank you all, and good-bye.

(Session concluded at 8:01 a.m. CT)

This text, document, or file is based on live transcription. Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART), captioning, and/or live transcription are provided in order to facilitate communication accessibility and may not be a totally verbatim record of the proceedings. This text, document, or file is not to be distributed or used in any way that may violate copyright law.
