

Name: Shin Yamasaki
Organisation: Japan Network Information Center
Country and Region: Asia-Pacific Group
Stakeholder Group: Technical Community
Date of Submission: 07/01/2020

Input to the Call for inputs for 2020 and taking stock of 2019

1. Taking Stock of the 2019 programming, outputs, preparatory process, community intersessional activities and the event itself: What worked well? What worked not so well?

1.1 Preparatory process (timeline, call for workshop proposals, workshop selection, MAG meetings etc.)

I think it worked well.

1.2 Community intersessional activities (Best Practice Forums, Dynamic Coalitions) and National, Regional and Youth IGFs - please comment on process, content, and in particular on how these intersessional activities were included in the programme content of the Berlin IGF.

Didn't have time to watch these processes, therefore, currently don't have comments.

1.3 IGF 2019 overall program structure and flow (in particular the three thematic tracks: digital inclusion; data governance; and security, safety, stability and resilience)

Looks good.

1.4 IGF 2019 programme content: Please comment on the content of workshops, main sessions, high level sessions, open forums, BPF, DC and NRIs sessions, as well as on the speakers and quality of discussions.

Very well organized except Newcomers Session. I understand IGF Secretariat is very short-handed, but waiting for inauguration of the program for more than 1 hour is ridiculous.

1.5 IGF 2019 participants

It is not clear what would you like to ask.

1.6 IGF 2019 village

Didn't have much time to see booths.

1.7 IGF 2019 communications, outreach and outputs (add relevant link here)

Well organised.

1.8 IGF 2019 logistics (venue, catering, security, registration etc.)

No problems I felt at all. Thank you very much for IGF Secretariat's and German Federal Government's effort.

1.9 Any other comments on the IGF 2019

2. What are your suggestions for improvements for 2020?

2.1 Preparatory process (timeline, call for workshop proposals, workshop selection, MAG and OC meetings etc.)

Don't have a specific comment.

2.2 Community intersessional activities (BPFs, Dynamic Coalitions) and National, Regional and Youth IGFs and how they can best connect with the global IGF.

Don't have a specific comment.

2.3 Overall programme structure and flow (introductory and concluding sessions, main and other sessions, schedule structure etc.)

Please recognise the importance of a new comers' session.

2.4 Do you think there should be thematic tracks as there were in 2019? Please indicate if you believe the three 2019 thematic tracks should be retained (digital inclusion; data governance; and security, safety, stability and resilience). If not, what should take their place or what theme should be added?

Yes. I don't have a specific theme but another track(s) which corresponds various "on the Internet" issues.

2.5 Programme content (workshops, main sessions, high level sessions, open forums, speakers)

Don't have a specific comment.

2.6 IGF 2020 Participants

Don't have a specific comment.

2.7 Any other comments on the IGF 2020